

*These lecture notes are supplementary materials for the lectures. They are by no means substitutes for attending lectures or replacement for your own notes!*

## 1 Probabilistic Method

### 1.1 Possible Events

The first principle of probabilistic method captures the trivial observation that if an event happens with positive probability, then it is possible for it to happen.

**Fact 1.1** *Suppose  $A \subset \Omega$  is an event such that  $Pr(A) > 0$ . Then,  $A \neq \emptyset$ . In particular, there exists  $\omega \in \Omega$  such that  $\omega \in A$ .*

This principle is often used to prove existence of structures having certain properties.

#### 1.1.1 Mono-chromatic Sets

Consider a finite set  $U$  and subsets  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_m$  of  $U$  such that each  $S_i$  has size  $|S_i| = l$ . Is it possible to color each element of  $U$  red or blue such that no set  $S_i$  contains elements with only one color?

**Proposition 1.2** *Suppose  $m < 2^{l-1}$ . Then, it is possible to color each element of  $U$  red or blue such that for all  $i$ , the set  $S_i$  contains elements from 2 colors.*

**Proof:** We run the following experiment. For each element, we color it red with probability  $\frac{1}{2}$  and blue with probability  $\frac{1}{2}$ . This is performed independently over all points. The sample space  $\Omega$  is the set of all possible colorings.

For each  $i$ , let  $A_i$  be the event that the set  $S_i$  contains elements of only one color. We wish to show that  $Pr(\cap_{i=1}^m \overline{A_i}) > 0$ . Hence, it suffices to show that  $Pr(\cup_{i=1}^m A_i) = 1 - Pr(\cap_{i=1}^m \overline{A_i}) < 1$ .

Observe that the event  $A_i$  happens means that all elements in  $S_i$  are all red or all blue. Hence,  $Pr(A_i) = \frac{1}{2^l} + \frac{1}{2^l} = \frac{1}{2^{l-1}}$ .

By the union bound,  $Pr(\cup_{i=1}^m A_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^m Pr(A_i) = m \cdot \frac{1}{2^{l-1}} < 1$ . ■

### 1.2 Random Variables and Expectation

The next principle states that if it is possible for a random variable to take values at least as large as its mean.

**Fact 1.3** *Suppose  $E[X] = x$ . Then,  $Pr[X \geq x] > 0$ .*

This principle is used for showing that there exists solution having objective value at least some certain number.

### 1.2.1 Max Cut

Suppose  $G = (V, E)$  is a graph. A cut  $C \subset V$  is a subset of  $V$ . An edge  $e = \{u, v\} \in E$  is in cut  $C$  if  $e \cap C = 1$ . The edges in a cut is  $E(C) := \{e \in E : e \cap C = 1\}$ . The problem of Max Cut is to find a cut  $C$  such that the number  $|E(C)|$  of cut edges is maximized.

Here is a very simple randomized algorithm. We form a random subset  $C$  in the following manner. Independently for each vertex  $v$  in  $V$ , we assign it a number 0 or 1, each with probability  $\frac{1}{2}$ . Then, the cut  $C$  consists of the vertices with number 1.

**Proposition 1.4**  $E[|E(C)|] = \frac{|E|}{2}$

**Proof:**

For each edge  $e \in E$ , let  $Y_e$  be the random variable that takes value 1 if  $e \in E(C)$  and 0 otherwise. Then,  $|E(C)| = \sum_{e \in E} Y_e$ .

Consider an edge  $e = \{u, v\}$ . Note that  $Y_e = 1$  iff exactly 1 of  $\{u, v\}$  is in  $C$ , i.e. either (1)  $u \in C$  and  $v \notin C$  or (2)  $u \notin C$  and  $v \in C$ .

Hence, it follows that  $Pr(Y_e = 1) = \frac{1}{2}$ . Therefore,  $E[Y_e] = \frac{1}{2}$ . By the linearity of expectation,  $E[|E(C)|] = \sum_{e \in E} E[Y_e] = \frac{|E|}{2}$ . ■

### 1.2.2 Max 3-SAT

Suppose  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}$  are  $n$  Boolean variables. Consider a 3-CNF formula (Conjunctive Normal Form) with  $m$  clauses:  $C_1 \wedge C_2 \wedge \dots \wedge C_m$ , where each  $C_j$  is a disjunction of 3 literals from 3 different variables. A literal is either a variable (e.g.  $x_1$ ) or its negation (e.g.  $\neg x_1$ ). A clause is satisfied if at least one of its 3 literals evaluates to TRUE.

Given a 3-CNF formula, the goal is to find an assignment of the variables so that as many clauses as possible are satisfied. Here is a randomized procedure for finding an assignment. Independently for each variable  $x_i$ , assign its value to be TRUE or FALSE, each with probability  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

**Proposition 1.5** *The expected number of satisfied clauses is  $\frac{7m}{8}$ .*

**Proof:** Let  $Y_j$  be a random variable that takes value 1 if the clause  $C_j$  is satisfied and 0 otherwise. Then, the number of satisfied clauses is  $\sum_{j=1}^m Y_j$ . Observe that exactly 3 variables are included in the clause  $C_j$ , and out of the  $2^3 = 8$  possible configurations for those 3 variables, exactly 1 configuration causes all 3 literals to be FALSE. Hence,  $E[Y_j] = \frac{7}{8}$ , and so  $E[\sum_{j=1}^m Y_j] = \sum_{j=1}^m E[Y_j] = \frac{7m}{8}$ . ■

## 2 Markov's Inequality and Chebyshev's Inequality

**Theorem 2.1 (Markov's Inequality)** *Suppose  $X$  is a random variable taking non-negative values.*

*For all  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $Pr(X \geq \alpha) \leq \frac{E[X]}{\alpha}$ .*

**Remark.** Since  $X > \alpha$  implies that  $X \geq \alpha$ , we also have  $Pr[X > \alpha] \leq \frac{E[X]}{\alpha}$ .

**Proof:**

$$E[X] = Pr(X \geq \alpha) \cdot E[X|X \geq \alpha] + Pr(X < \alpha) \cdot E[X|X < \alpha].$$

Observe that  $E[X|X \geq \alpha] \geq \alpha$ ,  $Pr(X < \alpha) \geq 0$  and  $E[X|X < \alpha] \geq 0$ .

Hence,  $E[X] \geq Pr(X \geq \alpha) \cdot \alpha$ . Rearranging gives the result. ■

**Theorem 2.2 (Chebyshev's Inequality)** *Suppose  $X$  is a random variable with expectation  $E[X] = \mu$ . Then, for all  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $Pr[|X - \mu| \geq \alpha] \leq \frac{var[X]}{\alpha^2}$ , where  $var[X] = E[(X - \mu)^2]$ .*

**Proof:** Observe that  $Pr[|X - \mu| \geq \alpha] = Pr[(X - \mu)^2 \geq \alpha^2]$ . Hence, by Markov's Inequality,  $Pr[(X - \mu)^2 \geq \alpha^2] \leq \frac{E[(X - \mu)^2]}{\alpha^2} = \frac{var[X]}{\alpha^2}$ . ■

## 2.1 Comparing Markov and Chebyshev

We show that we can often obtain a better result using Chebyshev's inequality, if we have a good bound on the variance of the random variable involved.

Consider the example of flipping a fair coin 1000 times. We want to find an upper bound for the event that there are at least 600 heads. Let  $X$  be the number of heads. Then,  $\mu = E[X] = 500$  and  $var[X] = 250$ .

Using Markov's Inequality, we have  $Pr[X \geq 600] \leq \frac{500}{600} = \frac{5}{6}$ .

Observe that  $X \geq 600$  implies that  $|X - \mu| \geq 100$ . Hence, using Chebyshev's Inequality, we have  $Pr[X \geq 600] \leq Pr[|X - \mu| \geq 100] \leq \frac{250}{100^2} = 0.025$ .