These lecture notes are supplementary materials for the lectures. They are by no means substitutes for attending lectures or replacement for your own notes!

## 1 $\epsilon$ -Net

Suppose X is a set with some distribution D, and C is a class of boolean functions, each of which has the form  $F: X \to \{0, 1\}$ . We can think of each function F as a concept, labeling each point in X as positive (1) or negative (0). The goal is to obtain a small subset  $S \subset X$  such that for each function  $F \in C$ , if a large fraction (weighted according to distribution D) of points in X are marked as positive under F, then there exists at least one point in S that is also marked positive under F. We use  $E_X[F(x)] := E_{x \in D(X)}[F(x)]$  to denote the expectation of F(x), where x is a point drawn from X with distribution D.

**Definition 1.1** An  $\epsilon$ -net S for a set X with distribution D under a class C of boolean functions on X is a subset satisfying the following:

For each  $F \in C$ , if  $E_X[F(x)] \ge \epsilon$ , then there exists  $x \in S$  such that F(x) = 1.

Trivially, we could take S := X as an  $\epsilon$ -net. However, we would want the cardinality of S to be small, even though X or C might be infinite.

We assume that we are able to sample points independently from X under distribution D. The straightforward way to construct a net is to sample an enough number of points.

For  $0 < \epsilon \leq 1$ , we define  $C_{\epsilon} := \{F \in C : E_X[F(x)] \geq \epsilon\}.$ 

### Example

Suppose X are points in the plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with some distribution, and C is the class of functions, each of which corresponds to an axis-aligned rectangle that marks the points inside 1 and 0 otherwise. We would later see that for every  $0 < \epsilon \leq 1$ , there is some finite sized  $\epsilon$ -net  $S_{\epsilon}$ , i.e., if a rectangle contains more than  $\epsilon$  (weighted) fraction of points in X, then it must contain a point in  $S_{\epsilon}$ .

### 1.1 Simple Case: When C is finite

**Theorem 1.2** Suppose C is finite and S is a subset obtained by sampling from X independently m times. (There could be repeats, and so S could have size smaller than m.) If  $m \ge \frac{1}{\epsilon} (\ln |C| + \ln \frac{1}{\delta})$ , then with probability at least  $1 - \delta$ , S is an  $\epsilon$ -net.

**Proof:** Observe that S is an  $\epsilon$ -net, if for all  $F \in C_{\epsilon}$ , there is some point  $x \in S$  such that F(x) = 1. Fix any  $F \in C_{\epsilon}$ , the probability that a point sampled from X would be labeled 1 is at least  $\epsilon$ . Hence, the failure probability that all points in S are labeled 0 under F is at most  $(1-\epsilon)^m \leq e^{-\epsilon m}$ . Using union bound, the probability that the set S fails for some  $F \in C_{\epsilon}$  is at most  $|C_{\epsilon}|e^{-\epsilon m} \leq |C|e^{-\epsilon m}$ , which is at most  $\delta$ , when  $m \geq \frac{1}{\epsilon}(\ln |C| + \ln \frac{1}{\delta})$ .

### **1.2** Extending to Infinite C

Observe that for a fixed subset S in X, if two functions F and F' agree on every point in S, then essentially they are the same from the viewpoint of S. Hence, for every fixed set S of size m, there are effectively only  $2^m$  boolean functions. However, there are still some issues.

- 1. There are still too many functions. Recall in the proof, we used the union bound to analyze the failure probability  $|C| \cdot e^{-\epsilon m} \leq 2^m \cdot e^{-\epsilon m}$ . However, this is not useful as the last quantity is larger than 1.
- 2. After we fix some S, there is no more randomness. Hence, we cannot even argue that the probability that S is bad for even one F is at most  $(1 \epsilon)^m$ .

For the first issue, we would add more assumptions to the class C of functions to obtain a better guarantee. The second issue is technical and can be resolved by using the technique of conditional probability and expectation.

# 2 VC-Dimension: Limiting the Number of Boolean Functions on a Subset

**Definition 2.1** Given a set X and a class C of boolean function on X, a subset  $S \subseteq X$  is said to be shattered by C, if for all subsets U of S, there exists  $F \in C$  such that for all  $x \in U$ , F(x) = 1 and for all  $x \in S \setminus U$ , F(x) = 0.

The VC-dimension of (X, C) is the maximum cardinality of a subset  $S \subseteq X$  that is shattered by C. In other words, the VC-dimension of (X, C) is at least d if there exists  $S \subseteq X$ , where |S| = d, such that S is shattered by C.

**Example.** Consider  $X = \mathbb{R}^2$  and C is the class where each function corresponds to an axis-aligned rectangle that labels each points inside it 1 and otherwise 0. Observer that  $S = \{(1,0), (-1,0), (0,1), (0,-1)\}$  can be shattered by C. However, one can show that no 5 points on the plane can be shattered by C.

**Definition 2.2** Suppose  $S \subseteq X$  and  $F: X \to \{0,1\}$ . Then, the projection of F on S is the boolean function  $F \mid_{S}: S \to \{0,1\}$  such that for all  $x \in S$ ,  $F \mid_{S} (x) = F(x)$ . Given a class C of boolean functions, the projection C(S) of C on S is the class  $C(S) := \{F \mid_{S}: F \in C\}$ .

Given non-negative integers m and d, we denote  $\binom{m}{\leq d} := \sum_{i=0}^{d} \binom{m}{i}$ .

**Theorem 2.3** Suppose C is a class of boolean functions on X and the VC-dimension of (X, C) is at most d. Let S be a subset of X of size m. Then, the cardinality of the projection C(S) is at most  $\binom{m}{\leq d}$ . In particular, when  $m \geq 2$  and  $d \geq 2$ , this is at most  $m^d$ .

**Proof:** We prove by induction on d and m. For the base cases where d and m are small, we leave it to the readers to verify the claim. Suppose we have S, where |S| = m > 1, and the VC-dimension

of (X, C) is d > 1. We give an upper bound on |C(S)|.

Let  $x \in S$  and define  $S' := S \setminus \{x\}$ . Define  $C(S')^{\dagger} \subseteq C(S')$  to be the set of functions F in C(S') such that there exists  $F_1, F_2 \in C(S)$ , where  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  disagree on x and  $F_1 \mid_{S'} = F_2 \mid_{S'} = F$ .

Consider the projection of C on S'. It follows that each function in  $C(S')^{\dagger}$  can be viewed as a "merge" of 2 functions in C(S'). Hence, it follows that  $|C(S)| = |C(S')| + |C(S')^{\dagger}|$ .

By induction hypothesis, we immediately have  $|C(S')| \leq {\binom{m-1}{<d}}$ .

We next show that the VC-dimension of  $(S', C(S')^{\dagger}) \leq d-1$ . Suppose  $C(S')^{\dagger}$  shatters a subset  $U \subseteq S'$ . Then, it follows immediately that C(S) shatters  $U \cup \{x\}$ , which has size at most d, since the VC-dimension of (X, C) is at most d. It follows  $|U| \leq d-1$ . Hence, by induction hypothesis  $|C(S')^{\dagger}| \leq {m-1 \choose \leq d-1}$ .

By observing that  $\binom{m}{i} = \binom{m-1}{i} + \binom{m-1}{i-1}$ , we conclude that  $|C(S)| \le \binom{m-1}{\le d} + \binom{m-1}{\le d-1} = \binom{m}{\le d}$ .

Here is the result relating VC-dimension of (X, C) and the number of independent samples that is sufficient to form an  $\epsilon$ -net for X under C.

**Theorem 2.4 (Number of Samples for Class with Bounded VC-Dimension)** Suppose (X, C) has VC-dimension at most d. Then, suppose S is a subset obtained by sampling from X independently m times (and removing repeated points). If  $m \ge \max\{\frac{4}{\epsilon}\log\frac{2}{\delta}, \frac{8d}{\epsilon}\log\frac{8d}{\epsilon}\}$ , then with probability at least  $1 - \delta$ , S is an  $\epsilon$ -net.

**Intuition.** Observe that  $|C(S)| \leq {m \choose \leq d} \leq m^d$ , for  $m \geq 2$  and  $d \geq 2$ . Hence, if we use the "bogus" union bound, the failure probability would be at most  $|C(S)| \cdot e^{-\epsilon m} \leq m^d \cdot e^{-\epsilon m}$ . When m is large enough as specified, this quantity is less than  $\delta$ .

## 3 Conditional Probability and Expectation as Random Variables

We see that if (X, C) has VC-dimension d, then the projection of C on some subset  $S \subseteq X$  with |S| = m has size  $|C(S)| \leq m^d$ . When we sample a subset S, we would like to analyze the size of C(S), conditioned on the fact that S is sampled. We need some formal notation to analyze this.

**Definition 3.1 (Random Object)** Suppose  $\mathcal{P} = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, Pr)$  is a probability space. A random object W taking values in some set  $\mathcal{U}$  is a function  $W : \Omega \to \mathcal{U}$ . For  $u \in \mathcal{U}$ ,  $\{W = u\}$  is the event  $\{\omega \in \Omega : W(\omega) = u\}$ .

### Example.

- 1. A  $\{0,1\}$ -random variable is a special case when  $\mathcal{U} = \{0,1\}$ .
- 2. Suppose we flip a fair coin repeatedly, and W is the outcome of the first 2 flips. In this case,  $\mathcal{U} = \{H, T\}^2$ .

**Definition 3.2 (Conditional Probability as a Random Variable)** Suppose  $\mathcal{P} = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, Pr)$  is a probability space, and  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  is an event. Let  $W : \Omega \to \mathcal{U}$  be a random object. Then, the conditional probability Pr[A|W] can be interpreted in two ways:

1.  $Pr[A|W] : \mathcal{U} \to [0,1]$  is a function such that for  $u \in \mathcal{U}$ , Pr[A|W](u) := Pr[A|W=u].

2.  $Pr[A|W] : \Omega \to [0,1]$  is a random variable defined by  $Pr[A|W](\omega) := Pr[A|W_{\omega}]$ , where  $W_{\omega} := \{\omega' \in \Omega : W(\omega') = W(\omega)\}$  is the event that W equals to  $W(\omega) \in \mathcal{U}$ .

**Definition 3.3 (Conditional Expectation as a Random Variable)** Suppose  $\mathcal{P} = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, Pr)$  is a probability space, and  $Y : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  is a random variable. Let  $W : \Omega \to \mathcal{U}$  be a random object. Then, the conditional expectation E[Y|W] can be interpreted in two ways:

- 1.  $E[Y|W] : \mathcal{U} \to \mathbb{R}$  is a function such that for  $u \in \mathcal{U}$ , E[Y|W](u) := E[Y|W = u].
- 2.  $E[Y|W] : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  is a random variable defined by  $E[Y|W](\omega) := E[Y|W_{\omega}]$ , where  $W_{\omega} := \{\omega' \in \Omega : W(\omega') = W(\omega)\}$  is the event that W equals to  $W(\omega) \in \mathcal{U}$ .

Since the conditional probability Pr[A|W] and the conditional expectation E[Y|W] are random variables themselves, we can take expectation of them.

**Fact 3.4** Let the event A, the random variable Y and the random object W be defined as above. Then, E[Pr[A|W]] = Pr[A] and E[E[Y|W]] = E[Y].

**Example.** Consider the probability space associated with flipping a fair coin repeatedly. Let W be the outcome of the first 2 flips, and Y be the number of flips that a head first appears. As before, we have  $\mathcal{U} = \{H, T\}^2$ . Consider the conditional expectation E[Y|W].

- 1. We have  $E[Y|W = \{H, H\}] = 1$ ,  $E[Y|W = \{H, T\}] = 1$ ,  $E[Y|W = \{T, H\}] = 2$ . Finally,  $E[Y|\{T, T\}] = 2 + E[Y] = 4$ .
- 2. Hence,  $E[E[Y|W]] = \frac{1}{4}(1+1+2+4) = 2 = E[Y].$

#### 3.1 Using Conditional Probability to Bound Failure Probability

Recall that we are drawing independent samples from X to form a subset S of size m in the hope that S would be an  $\epsilon$ -net for the class C of functions. Suppose further that (X, C) has VC-dimension d.

Let A be the event that S is not an  $\epsilon$ -net under C. In particular, let  $A_F$  be the event that for all  $x \in S$ , F(x) = 0. Recall that  $C_{\epsilon} := \{C \in F : E_X[F(x)] \ge \epsilon\}$ . We wish to find a good upperbound for  $Pr[A] = Pr[\bigcup_{F \in C_{\epsilon}} A_F]$ .

Using conditional probability, we have Pr[A] = E[Pr[A|S]]. Observe that if we fix S, then the set S fails for the function  $F \in C$  iff S fails for  $F' := F |_S \in C(S)$ . Hence,  $Pr[A|S] = Pr[\cup_{F \in C_{\epsilon}} A_F|S] = Pr[\cup_{F' \in C_{\epsilon}(S)} A_{F'}|S] \leq \sum_{F' \in C_{\epsilon}(S)} Pr[A_{F'}|S]$ .

Observe that the summation contains at most  $|C_{\epsilon}(S)| \leq |C(S)| \leq m^d$  terms. Hence, it suffices to give a good upperbound on  $p^* := \max_{F' \in C_{\epsilon}(S)} Pr[A_{F'}|S]$ . However, as we mention before, if we condition on S, there is no more randomness, since  $Pr[A_F|S]$  is either 0 or 1. Hence, we can have  $p^* = 1$ . We shall see next time how we can resolve this by introducing extra randomness in the analysis.

# 4 Homework Preview

#### 1. VC-dimension of Axis-aligned rectangles.

- (a) Prove that no 5 points on the plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$  can be shattered by the class C of axis-aligned rectangles (that map points inside a rectangle 1 and otherwise 0).
- (b) Compute the VC-dimension of the class  $C_k$  of k-dimensional axis-aligned rectangles in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ . In particular, you need to find a number d such that there exist d points in  $\mathbb{R}^k$  that can be shattered by the  $C_k$ , and prove that any d + 1 points in  $\mathbb{R}^k$  cannot be shattered by  $C_k$ .
- 2. Conditional Expectation. Suppose  $Y : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  is a random variable and  $W : \Omega \to \mathcal{U}$  is a random object defined on the same probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, Pr)$ . Prove that E[Y] = E[E[Y|W]]. You may assume that both  $\Omega$  and  $\mathcal{U}$  are finite.
- 3. Using  $\epsilon$ -Net for Learning. Suppose X is a set with some underlying distribution D and C is a class of boolean functions on X, and the VC-dimension of (X, C) is d. Moreover, suppose there is some function  $F_0 \in C$  that corresponds to some classifier that we wish to learn. The model we have is that we can sample a random  $x \in X$  and ask for the value  $F_0(x)$ . After seeing m such samples S in X, we pick a function  $F_1 \in C$  that agrees with  $F_0$  on S. The hope is that  $F_1$  and  $F_0$  would agree on most points in X (according to distribution D).
  - (a) Define another class C' of boolean functions on X such that if S is an  $\epsilon$ -net under C', and  $F \in C$  is a function that disagrees with  $F_0$  on more than  $\epsilon$  fraction (weighted according to D) of points in X, then there exists some  $x \in S$  such that  $F(x) \neq F_0(x)$ . Prove the VC-dimension of (X, C') for the class C' that you have constructed.
  - (b) How many samples are enough such that with probability at least  $1 \delta$  the function  $F_1$  returned disagrees with  $F_0$  on at most  $\epsilon$  weighted fraction of points in X?