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# A Solid Model Based Virtual Hairy Brush 


#### Abstract

We present the detailed modeling of the hairy brush used typically in Chinese calligraphy. The complex model, which includes also a model for the ink and the paper, covers the various stages of the brush going through a calligraphy process. The model relies on the concept of writing primitives, which are the smallest units of hair clusters, to reduce the load on the simulation. Each such primitive is constructed through the general sweeping operation in CAD and described by a NURBS surface. The writing primitives dynamically adjust themselves during the virtual writing process, leaving an imprint on the virtual paper as they move. The behavior of the brush is an aggregation of the behavior of all the writing primitives. A software system based on the model has been built and tested, which can be used as a stand-alone system for creating calligraphic artwork in real time or integrated as a special-effect feature into a design software program. Samples of imitation artwork from using the system were obtained and found to be nearly indistinguishable from the real artwork.
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## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Modeling the Brush

The problem of how to simulate Chinese calligraphy using the computer has attracted many researchers. A good method could produce calligraphic fonts that are useful in printing and displaying Chinese. The method must have a way of representing characters in the computer, such as using cubic Bezier curves and straight lines [2,3] or skeletal strokes [4]. One could also base the representation on the brush stroke's boundary [5, 6, 7, 8] or its trajectory [9]. Shamir and Rappoport have introduced a parametric method to compactly represent existing outline-based oriental fonts [10]. Ip et al. discussed a method to encode Chinese calligraphic characters using automatic fractal shape coding [11]. Given the representation of a character, the technique of rasterization can then be used to generate the image of the character, to be used in applications such as desktop publishing [12].

Character representation and rasterization are but the nuts and bolts; what is more interesting and challenging is the problem of generating new fonts [13]. Some new Chinese fonts have been generated through shape operations in an algebra of geometric shapes [14]. In real life, however, the Chinese for centuries
have used the hairy brush to write characters because of the hairy brush's special aesthetic and expressive power. It therefore appears that the simulation of an "e-hairy brush" by the computer could provide a most natural and effective means of creating Chinese fonts. This in fact has become a meaningful pursuit for computer scientists in recent years. To design a good simulation of the brush is indeed theoretically challenging. The paper by Henmi and Yoshikawa [15] describes one such virtual calligraphy system. More discussion and research results on the "virtual brush" and its application and values can be found in [16, 17, 18, 19, 20]. The paper by Strassmann [21] presents a detailed analysis of the effects a virtual hairy brush can produce. Wong and Ip devised a virtual brush model for synthesizing Chinese calligraphic writings [22], in which the main working units are the cone and some ellipses. There exist many software approaches to modeling the brush [23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28], of which most are physically based solutions. There are also hardware approaches, such as the one by Greene [29].

The practical goal of research on virtual hairy brushes is to be able to generate beautiful characters and realistic calligraphic artwork. The challenge therefore lies not only in the modeling of the e-brush itself, but also the modeling of the paper and the ink [30]. A paper-ink model would describe the interaction between the ink and the virtual paper. Some elaborate ink diffusion models have been proposed to simulate different ink spreading effects [31, 32, 33]. On the other hand, classical artificial intelligence, fuzzy logic, and knowledge based engineering techniques have been found to be useful in creating beautiful calligraphic artwork with a virtual hairy brush [34, 35, 36, 37, 38].

With a good e-hairy brush model and a good paper-ink model, beautiful paintings can be generated in the same way as generating beautiful calligraphic artwork [39, 40]. Way and Shih [41] used a simple brush model to synthesize beautiful rock textures in Chinese landscape painting. With their method, the contours of the rocks and the areas to which textures are applied are manually supplied by the user using some existing image as reference. Many other papers have proposed a similar e-brush approach to tackle the problem of painterly rendering [ $42,43,44,45,46,47$ ].

Simulating the hairy brush's various rendering effects falls into the research area of non-photorealistic rendering (NPR), of which a good survey can be found in the paper by Lansdown and Schofield [48]. Creating Chinese calligraphy or paintings by an e-hairy brush in real-time bears close resemblance to realtime generation of pen-and-ink illustrations in terms of both the goal and the problem-solving strategies [49, 50]. The aesthetic effects pen and ink can produce can be emulated by using a "fine" virtual hairy brush; but the reverse is not that feasible. The complexity of a virtual hairy brush is obviously much greater than that of a pen or pencil, as the brush is much more powerful in artistic expression. This paper describes a complex virtual hairy brush and its associated paper-ink model, and shows, despite the complexity,
how the simulated brush can operate efficiently in real-time. The next section discusses existing related approaches and highlight the features of our design of the virtual hair brush that are superior.

### 1.2 Contributions and Special Features

Our method comprises an algorithmic software framework that can simulate the change of the physical conditions of a hairy brush, including the brush's geometric shape and its ink-related properties during the Chinese calligraphic writing process, where ink-related properties refer to the brush's degree of wetness and color. An interactive software system implementing these algorithms has been constructed which can be used to create calligraphic artwork fully electronically. The ease of use and expressive power of our virtual brush, and the high quality outputs from using the brush attest to the effectiveness of our approach.

Pure hardware approaches such as Greene's [29] tend to be expensive and not easily applicable in all environments. The method by Pan et al. [14] can only generate new fonts from existing fonts, but not user's own writing into new fonts. The method by Way and Shih [41] requires the user to specify the contour and the parameters of the object to be painted based on a reference image or figure. This painting procedure is very different from the way traditional artists perform their painting tasks. In contrast, the design of our virtual hairy brush is such that it can be used to to write or paint in the same way as using a real physical brush. In the paper by Baxter et al. [43], the brush head is modeled as a subdivision surface mesh wrapping a spring-mass particle system skeleton. The particle system produces the basic motion and behavior of the brush head, with the deformable mesh skin around the skeleton representing the actual shape of the head. This 3D brush model can capture the essential quality of the physical brush for creating oil or watercolor paintings. Such a simple brush model, however, cannot capture all the complex physical properties and conditions of a hairy brush and its ink distribution which are necessary for simulating Chinese calligraphy and painting.

The virtual brush model by Wong and Ip [22], which is closest in the modeling approach and functionalities to our model, offers a feasible means for artists to produce Chinese calligraphic characters electronically. Their method, however, is inconvenient to use because of a complex set of interrelated parameters to control the shape, density and opacity of the current drawing mark. These parameters need to be specified manually by the user, thus giving rise to a system that is limited in interactivity. More specifically, the user needs to specify the profiles for seven parameters to control the brush motion dynamic model, and another three parameters to control the ink deposition, a total of ten profiles. Only two of these profiles, the stroke's trajectory in the X and Y directions, can be sampled by a mouse or a digitizing pen;
so there are eight profiles to be input manually. Whereas in our approach, there are many input devices that can take in the six degrees of freedom of a solid object, and no profile needs to be supplied by the user.

Other than the input parameters for the brush's six degrees of freedom, several parameters have been introduced in our design for controlling the virtual brush's quality, whose values can be automatically set via an optimization algorithm. Although our e-brush model is more complex than that of Wong and Ip [22], all the modeling details and quality parameters of the e-brush can be hidden from the end user. The user can in fact use the e-brush in the same way he/she uses the traditional hairy brush. It is fair to say therefore that our e-brush is simpler and easier to manipulate than the e-brush of Wong and Ip. But because of the more complex model we use and the automatically tunable quality parameters we introduce, we can achieve better output results with our e-brush.

Our virtual hairy brush is closer to the real brush than other similar brushes because our e-brush can automatically determine both the geometric contour and the texture of its current drawing mark on the virtual paper at the same time. This process is done in real-time and no human intervention is necessary, which is not the case in Wong's and Ip's system [22]. Real-time response is most essential as our virtual hairy brush is a fully interactive system. Moreover, Wong's and Ip's brush is limited in its artistic expressive capabilities because its current drawing mark is always an ellipse. The drawing mark in our model can be varied and of irregular shape which is generated from any planar parametric curve instead of just an ellipse. This is a vital feature for achieving quality in an artist's work. The delicate artwork we generated (Section 8) attests to the expressive power of our approach having this feature.

We introduce a useful concept called writing primitive, which is a hair cluster, to serve as the basic working unit of the virtual hairy brush. The cross section of each writing primitive as one indivisible entity intersecting the virtual paper plane and its ink-related information are computed once during every time step, which is much faster than the corresponding process in Wong's and Ip's approach for the same hair bundle where every hair is operated on individually [22]. This makes real-time simulation of the hairy brush's writing and painting behavior possible in our system. We have implemented a prototype system, with experimental results demonstrating the correctness and real-time quality of our algorithms.

By embedding ink-related information in the writing primitive's control axis, a single primitive can readily express reasonably complex, interesting, and even mysterious distribution of the ink, including its color and wetness. In our proposed ink model, we use probabilities to create realistic effects to be used in rendering the current ink-mark, thus enabling our virtual hairy brush to simulate the drying and running effects of calligraphic artwork. Multiple gray levels, full-color paintings, dry brush writing effects, and
saturation effects can all be produced using this new ink model. All these writing effects contribute to the expressive power of the system for computer-aided art creation.

We also introduce an inertia predictor to calculate the brush's virtual position based on its sampled position during the writing process. This inertia predictor simulates the acceleration of the virtual hairy brush, which gives the user the feeling of a real physical brush, and helps to produce output that rivals real artwork. In addition to ways provided by our system to allow the user to manually edit various quality parameters of the virtual hairy brush, special optimization algorithms are built into the system that can customize these parameters for the user automatically. Optimally customized parameters can lead to better maneuverability of the brush and improved quality in the output.

According to the six degrees of freedom of the hairy brush, which are sampled periodically, the computer can simulate the whole Chinese calligraphy process with high accuracy. The process can be performed with real-time response, as proven through experimentation. Since many of the geometrical and dynamic parameters of the brush can be automatically determined by the system, it is not necessary to store any bitmap image (for the brush's cross section) during the writing process. After completing the writing process, it is also not necessary to store the generated artwork in any standard format; a small file containing the changes of the virtual hairy brush's six degrees of freedom during the whole writing process is sufficient to reconstruct the full final image. Hence, the storage requirement of our approach is minimal.

Section 2 introduces and explains the concept of writing primitive. Section 3 presents the solid model of the virtual hairy brush. Section 4 discusses the sampling and processing of the brush's input. Section 5 discusses how to adjust the parametric models of the e-brush dynamically. Section 6 presents the rendering of the brush's current ink mark at any time instant. Section 7 discusses how the brush and its quality parameters are configured by the system automatically. Section 8 gives an overview of the implemented system and showcases some examples of artwork created using the system. Section 9 discusses some possible future extensions. Section 10 summarizes and concludes the paper.

## 2 Writing Primitives

We rely on the concept of writing primitive, which represents a hair cluster (i.e., a small bundle of hair), to reduce the complexity of the modeling and thus the computational requirement for the system. A virtual hairy brush consists of one or more writing primitives. Each writing primitive is described by a NURBS surface, and is constructed through the general sweeping operation in CAD. The behavior of the virtual
hairy brush is an aggregation of the behavior of all its writing primitives. This is in sharp contrast with the approach used in by Wong and Ip [22], where every hair is operated on. The use of writing primitives does not diminish in any way the power of the virtual hairy brush in satisfactorily simulating all possible behavior of a real hairy brush including the branching out behavior. This is because the clustering of hair is a natural phenomenon and writing primitive appears to be a good model for capturing this phenomenon. In the physical clustering of hair, hair in the same bundle share similar ink-related properties, which is also captured in our modeling. Our experimental results have confirmed the correctness of this approach in modeling the real brush, as well as the outstanding expressive power of our model. The artwork created using our system can be seen as better than those in the paper by Wong and Ip.

A writing primitive in the model is defined by its four attributes as shown in Figure 2(a). Based on these four attributes, the model is constructed through the general sweeping operation in CAD. This operation will construct a NURBS sweeping surface by taking the curve of the writing primitive's middle control axis as its sweeping trajectory and the cross sections defined by the user at initialization as the given profiles. Note that at the start of the writing process, the brush consists of a single writing primitive. The general sweeping operation is implemented according to the minimized rotation frame algorithm by Maurer and Juttler [51]. During the simulation, three of the four attributes (not including the bottom control circle) of the writing primitive will be dynamically adjusted according to the input data which describe the brush's current position in the 3D space. The bottom control circle never changes during the writing process.

All the input data are preprocessed to take into account the inertia of the hairy brush, which creates a realistic "feel" of the brush. We refer to the cross section of the intersection between a writing primitive and the virtual paper plane as the writing primitive's current drawing mark. For every time slice of the writing process, ink will be deposited according to the state of the current drawing mark. The union of the current drawing marks of all the writing primitives is the current mark made by the brush on the paper. The final artwork is the accumulation of such marks over all the time slices. Figure 4 shows the state transition of a writing primitive. The virtual hairy brush's working diagram is shown in Figure 1. It will be explained in detail in Sections 4-6.

## 3 The Model and the States

### 3.1 The parametric model of the virtual hairy brush

Our model of a virtual hairy brush ( $\mathbf{H B}$ ) is in terms of the collection of writing primitives that the brush is composed of. Formulation 1 defines HB.

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{H B} \triangleq(\mathbf{C W P}, \mathbf{Q p})  \tag{1}\\
\mathbf{C W P} \triangleq\left(\mathbf{W P}_{1}, \mathbf{W P}_{2}, \cdots, \mathbf{W P}_{m}\right) \\
\mathbf{Q p} \triangleq\left(\mathbf{Q p}^{1}, \mathbf{Q p}^{2}\right) \\
\mathbf{Q p}^{1} \triangleq(e, t r e, \nu, r e, i e, s m) \\
\mathbf{Q p}^{2} \triangleq(r e n, \eta, a b, p w, d r y)
\end{array}\right.
$$

The brush's writing primitives are denoted as $\mathbf{W P}_{1}, \mathbf{W P}_{2}, \cdots, \mathbf{W P}_{m}$. Each writing primitive $\mathbf{W} \mathbf{P}_{i}(i=$ $1,2, \cdots, m)$, described by a NURBS surface, is constructed by the general sweeping operation in CAD. The number of HB's compositive writing primitives, $m$, will be dynamically adjusted by the system. There are several parameters for controlling the artwork style generated by the brush, the values of which are within $[0,1]$. These parameters are called the quality parameters $\mathbf{Q p}$ of $\mathbf{H B}$, which are classified into two categories. The first category, $\mathbf{Q} \mathbf{p}^{1}$, affects the created brush strokes' boundary. The second category, $\mathbf{Q p}^{2}$, affects the texture of the created brush strokes. Figure 8(a) contains a complete list of these parameters. The meaning and detailed usage of these parameters and how they are configured will be explained later in the paper.

### 3.2 The parametric model of a writing primitive

The parametric model of a writing primitive $\mathbf{W} \mathbf{P}_{i}(i=1,2, \cdots, m)$ is defined as: $\mathbf{W} \mathbf{P}_{i} \triangleq\left(\mathbf{C}_{i}, \mathbf{E}_{i}, \mathbf{L}_{i}, \mathbf{A}_{i}\right)$. To generate the parametric model of a writing primitive $\mathbf{W P}_{i}(i=1,2, \cdots, m)$ through the general sweeping operation, a circle $\mathbf{C}_{i}$, an ellipse $\mathbf{E}_{i}$, and a line $\mathbf{L}_{i}$ are taken as the sweeping profiles, and an axis $\mathbf{A}_{i}$ is used as the sweeping trajectory. $\mathbf{C}_{i}, \mathbf{E}_{i}, \mathbf{L}_{i}, \mathbf{A}_{i}$ are called $\mathbf{W} \mathbf{P}_{i}$ 's bottom control circle, middle control ellipse, tip control line, and middle control axis, respectively (Figure 2(a)). Among these four attributes, $\mathbf{C}_{i}$ is a static attribute of $\mathbf{W} \mathbf{P}_{i}$, that is, once $\mathbf{C}_{i}$ is initialized at the beginning, it remains unchanged during the whole process.

### 3.2.1 The bottom control circle of a writing primitive

The bottom control circle $\mathbf{C}_{i}$ of writing primitive $\mathbf{W P}_{i}$ is defined as:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{C}_{i} \triangleq\left(\mathbf{c e n}_{i}, r_{i}, \mathbf{c o r i}_{i}\right)  \tag{2}\\
\operatorname{cen}_{i} \triangleq\left(c c x_{i}, c c y_{i}, c c z_{i}\right) \\
\operatorname{cori}_{i} \triangleq\left(c o x_{i}, c o y_{i}, c o z_{i}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\boldsymbol{c e n}_{i}$ is the coordinates of $\mathbf{C}_{i}$ 's center, $r_{i}$ its radius, and $\operatorname{cori}_{i}$, a 3-dimensional unit vector representing $\mathbf{C}_{i}$ 's orientation. All the writing primitives of a brush share the same bottom control circle, and so this circle is also called the bottom control circle of the brush, denoted as HB.C.

### 3.2.2 The tip control line of a writing primitive

The tip control line $\mathbf{L}_{i}$ of $\mathbf{W} \mathbf{P}_{i}$ is defined as:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{L}_{i} \triangleq\left(l e n_{i}, \mathbf{m i d}_{i}, \mathbf{l o r i}_{i}\right)  \tag{3}\\
\mathbf{m i d}_{i} \triangleq\left(m i x_{i}, m i y_{i}, m i z_{i}\right) \\
\mathbf{l o r i}_{i} \triangleq\left(l o x_{i}, l o y_{i}, l o z_{i}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $l e n_{i}$ is the current length of $\mathbf{L}_{i}, \operatorname{mid}_{i}$ is $\mathbf{L}_{i}$ 's midpoint, and $\operatorname{lor}_{i}$, a 3-dimensional unit vector representing $\mathbf{L}_{i}$ 's orientation.

### 3.2.3 The middle control axis of a writing primitive

The middle control axis $\mathbf{A}_{i}$ is a cubic B-spline curve with interpolated key points $\mathbf{P}_{i, 1}, \mathbf{P}_{i, 2}, \cdots, \mathbf{P}_{i, n_{i}}$. Each of these points carries both geometric and ink-related information, including $\mathbf{P}_{i, j}$ 's color in RGB and its degree of wetness. $\mathbf{P}_{i, j}$ is defined in Formulation 4:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{P}_{i, j} \triangleq\left(\mathbf{c c}_{i, j}, \mathbf{c o l}_{i, j}, w e t_{i, j}, \mathbf{\mathbf { v } _ { i , j }}, \mathbf{c v}_{i, j}, w r_{i, j}, c r_{i, j}\right)  \tag{4}\\
\mathbf{c c}_{i, j} \triangleq\left(c x_{i, j}, c y_{i, j}, c z_{i, j}\right) \\
\mathbf{w v}_{i, j} \triangleq\left(w x_{i, j}, w y_{i, j}, w z_{i, j}\right) \\
\mathbf{c v}_{i, j} \triangleq\left(c x_{i, j}, c y_{i, j}, c z_{i, j}\right) \\
i=1,2, \cdots, m ; j=1,2, \cdots, n_{i}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Here, $\mathbf{c c}_{i, j}$ is $\mathbf{P}_{i, j}$ 's coordinates. $\operatorname{col}_{i, j}$ is $\mathbf{P}_{i, j}$ 's color in RGB format. With this formulation, we can generate colorful calligraphic artwork and even create watercolor paintings. wet $t_{i, j}$ is $\mathbf{P}_{i, j}$ 's degree of wetness. For each $\mathbf{A}_{i}$, its first key point is always set at the center of the bottom control circle, and its last key point at the
midpoint of the tip control line; that is, $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\mathbf{c c}_{i, 1} \triangleq \mathbf{c e n}_{i} \\ \mathbf{c c}_{i, n_{i}} \triangleq \boldsymbol{m i d}_{i}\end{array}\right.$. Each key point has four fields for specifying the point's ink-related information: vector mode wetness changing vector $\mathbf{w} \mathbf{v}_{i, j}$, vector mode color changing vector $\mathbf{c v}_{i, j}$, radiation mode wetness changing factor $w r_{i, j}$, and radiation mode color changing factor $c r_{i, j}$. Based on these fields, we can compute the ink-related information for any point $\mathbf{Q}$ on a plane perpendicular to the middle control axis $\mathbf{A}_{i}$ passing through $\mathbf{P}_{i, j}$. We allow two modes of ink distribution in the virtual hairy brush: the vector mode, in which ink is distributed according to the direction of a certain vector; and the radiation mode, in which ink is distributed radically. There is an ink-related texture function associated with each key point, which produces some hybrid effect contributed by these two distribution modes. Figure 3 explains these two modes of ink distribution. The contribution made by $\mathbf{P}_{i, j}$ 's vector mode ink distribution is: $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\boldsymbol{\delta}_{v} w e t \\ \mathbf{Q}^{2}={ }^{\text {wet }} \mathbf{P}_{i, j} \times\left(\left(\mathbf{c c}_{\mathbf{Q}}-\mathbf{c c}_{\mathbf{P}_{i, j}}\right) \bullet \mathbf{w v}_{i, j}\right) \\ \boldsymbol{\delta}_{v} \mathbf{c o l}_{\mathbf{Q}}=\mathbf{c o l}_{\mathbf{P}_{i, j}} \times\left(\left(\mathbf{c c}_{\mathbf{Q}}-\mathbf{c c}_{\mathbf{P}_{i, j}}\right) \bullet \mathbf{c v}_{i, j}\right)\end{array}\right.$ where $\mathbf{c c}_{\mathbf{Q}},{ }^{\text {wet }} \mathbf{Q}{ }^{\text {and } \mathbf{c o l}_{\mathbf{Q}}}$ are point Q's current coordinates in the 3D space, its degree of wetness, and its color, respectively; and ${ }^{\mathbf{c}} \mathbf{P}_{i, j},{ }^{w e t} \mathbf{P}_{i, j}$ and ${ }^{\mathbf{c o l}} \mathbf{P}_{i, j}$ are the corresponding values of point $\mathbf{P}_{i, j}$. Similarly for the contribution made by $\mathbf{P}_{i, j}$ 's radiation mode ink distribution: $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\boldsymbol{\delta}_{r} w e t \mathbf{Q}={ }^{w e t} \mathbf{P}_{i, j} \times\left\|\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{Q}}-\mathbf{c c}_{\mathbf{P}_{i, j}}\right\| \times w r_{i, j} \\ \boldsymbol{\delta}_{r} \mathbf{c o l}_{\mathbf{Q}}=\mathbf{c o l}_{\mathbf{P}_{i, j}} \times\left\|\mathbf{c c}_{\mathbf{Q}}-\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{P}_{i, j}}\right\| \times c r_{i, j}\end{array} \quad\right.$ Thus, $\mathbf{P}_{i, j}$,s ink-related texture function, which computes the hybrid effect contributed by both the point's vector mode and radiation mode ink distribution patterns is defined as: $\left\{\begin{array}{l}{ }^{w e t} \mathbf{Q}^{\triangleq} \triangleq{ }^{w e t} \mathbf{P}_{i, j}+\boldsymbol{\delta}_{r} w e t \mathbf{Q}^{+}+\boldsymbol{\delta}_{v} w e t \\ \mathbf{c o l}_{\mathbf{Q}} \triangleq{ }^{\boldsymbol{c o l}} \mathbf{P}_{i, j}+\boldsymbol{\delta}_{r} \mathbf{c o l}_{\mathbf{Q}}+\boldsymbol{\delta}_{v} \mathbf{c o l}_{\mathbf{Q}}\end{array}\right.$, or

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
{ }^{w e t} \mathbf{Q}^{=}{ }^{w e t} \mathbf{P}_{i, j} \times\left(1+\left\|\mathbf{c c}_{\mathbf{Q}}-\mathbf{c c}_{\mathbf{P}_{i, j}}\right\| \times w r_{i, j}+\left(\mathbf{c c}_{\mathbf{Q}}-\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{P}_{i, j}}\right) \bullet \mathbf{w v}_{i, j}\right)  \tag{5}\\
{ }^{\mathbf{c o l}} \mathbf{Q}=\mathbf{c o l}_{\mathbf{P}_{i, j}} \times\left(1+\left\|\mathbf{c c}_{\mathbf{Q}}-\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{P}_{i, j}}\right\| \times c r_{i, j}+\left(\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{Q}}-\mathbf{c c}_{\mathbf{P}_{i, j}}\right) \bullet \mathbf{c v}_{i, j}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

### 3.2.4 The middle control ellipse of a writing primitive

The middle control ellipse $\mathbf{E}_{i}$ of the writing primitive $\mathbf{W} \mathbf{P}_{i}$ is defined as:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{E}_{i} \triangleq\left(a_{i}, b_{i}, l o c_{i}, \text { eori }_{i}\right)  \tag{6}\\
\text { eori }_{i} \triangleq\left(e o x_{i},\right. \text { eoy } \\
\\
\\
, \text { oez } \\
i
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $a_{i}$ is the length of $\mathbf{E}_{i}$ 's major axis and $b_{i}$ the length of $\mathbf{E}_{i}$ 's minor axis. $l o c_{i}$ is $\mathbf{E}_{i}$ 's location parameter, which indicates $\mathbf{E}_{i}$ 's relative position along the middle control axis to which it belongs. eori ${ }_{i}$ represents $\mathbf{E}_{i}$ 's minor axis's orientation.

### 3.3 The three states of a brush

A virtual hairy brush HB is assumed to have three possible states in its life cycle: the initial state, the dipping state, and the working state (Figure 4).

### 3.4 The initial state of a virtual hairy brush

The initial state of the virtual hairy brush $\mathbf{H B}$ is when all of its compositive writing primitives are in their free states (Figure 2(b)).

The three dynamic attributes of a writing primitive are simplest when in this state: the tip control line, the middle control axis and the middle control ellipse are reduced to a point, a straight line, and a circle, respectively. Varying the radius of the circle and its position along the middle control axis can result in a series of modeling effects, as shown in Figure 5(a).

### 3.5 The dipping state of a virtual hairy brush

All the writing primitives of the virtual hairy brush shift to the dipping state after the brush is dipped into the ink bottle and before touching the paper. Through dipping, writing primitives acquire ink-related information, which includes color and degree of wetness and is according to how the brush is dipped.

If $\mathbf{P}_{i, k}, \mathbf{P}_{i, k+1}, \cdots, \mathbf{P}_{i, n_{i}}$ are $\mathbf{W} \mathbf{P}_{i}$ 's key points that are soaked in ink, their color is simply set to the ink color. For the other key points, $\mathbf{P}_{i, l}, l=2,3, \cdots, k-1$, which are not soaked in ink, linear interpolations are applied to compute their individual colors $\operatorname{col}_{\mathbf{P}_{i, l}}$ with the assumption that $\operatorname{col}_{\mathbf{P}_{i, 1}} \equiv 0$, which is the color code for pure white. Here, we assume the paper is white. If that is not the case, we would substitute the color of the paper for pure white. The color distribution after dipping is depicted as:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{c o l}_{i, l}=\text { ink color }  \tag{7}\\
\left(l=k, k+1, \cdots, n_{i}\right) \\
\operatorname{col}_{i, l}=\frac{\left\|\mathbf{c c}_{i, k}-\mathbf{c c}_{i, l}\right\| \times \mathbf{c o l}_{i, 1}+\left\|\mathbf{c}_{i, l}-\mathbf{c}_{i, 1}\right\| \times \mathbf{c o l}_{i, k}}{\left\|\mathbf{c}_{i, k}-\mathbf{c o}_{i, 1}\right\|} \\
(l=2,3, \cdots, k-1) \\
\operatorname{col}_{i, 1}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Similarly for each key point's degree of wetness. We assume ${ }^{w e t} \mathbf{P}_{i, 1} \equiv \mathbf{0}$, meaning that $\mathbf{P}_{i, 1}$ is all dry;
thus:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { wet }_{i, l}=\text { the degree of wetness }  \tag{8}\\
\left(l=k, k+1, \cdots, n_{i}\right) \\
\text { wet }_{i, l}=\frac{\left\|\mathbf{c c}_{i, k}-\mathbf{c c}_{i, l}\right\| \times \text { wet }_{i, 1}+\left\|\mathbf{c c}_{i, l}-\mathbf{c c}_{i, 1}\right\| \times \text { wet }_{i, k}}{\left\|\mathbf{c c}_{i, k}-\mathbf{c c}_{i, 1}\right\|} \\
(l=2,3, \cdots, k-1) \\
\text { wet }_{i, 1}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

### 3.6 The working state of a virtual hairy brush

The working state of a virtual hairy brush is the "deformation" state of the brush. The brush deforms due to touching or being pressed against the paper. This varies the eccentricity of the middle control ellipse, the tip control line and the middle control axis, leading to a series of modeling effects, as shown in Figure 5(b). The pressure against the paper may build up to the point where the brush hair will split, as shown in Figure 5(c).

## 4 Sampling of the Input Data

During the writing process, the brush's dynamic attributes are captured by sampling. Sampled input data are used to adjust the virtual brush dynamically. All such adjustments will preserve the validity (with respect to a real brush) of the parametric model. We need to first obtain the brush's six degrees of freedom. Assume that the sampled datum at time $t$ is $\mathbf{S a m}^{t} \triangleq\left(x^{t}, y^{t}, z^{t}, d^{t}, q^{t}, r^{t}\right)$, where $\left(x^{t}, y^{t}, z^{t}\right)$ is the center of HB's bottom control circle HB.C in the 3D space; $d^{t}$ the degree of HB's sideways deflection; $q^{t}$ the degree of HB's forward deflection; and $r^{t}$ the degree of HB's rotation (Figure 6(a)).

There are many ways to input a solid object's six degrees of freedom, such as using some special device [43]. A three dimensional mouse, or a data glove or any other sensor that can take in the six degrees of freedom for a rigid body can also be used as the input hardware for the virtual hairy brush. Some other interesting methods have been introduced [52,53]. Our current method for input is based on a 3-button mouse. Figure 6(b) shows the user actions and their corresponding effects. Note that during the writing process not all of the virtual hairy brush's six degrees of freedom experience the same degree of changing. Under most circumstances, $\left(x^{t}, y^{t}, z^{t}\right)$ will change much more frequently and sharply than $d^{t}$ and $q^{t} ; r^{t}$ rarely changes. Our 3-button-mouse strategy offers an easy but effective way for the user to input $\left(x^{t}, y^{t}, z^{t}\right)$; using the mouse to input $d^{t}, q^{t}, r^{t}$ is a little more awkward but acceptable, as can be demonstrated by our experimental results. Of course, more expensive input devices such as the data glove
or those with high dimensional sensors can certainly enhance the usability of the system.
During the writing process, HB's virtual position at time $t, \mathbf{S}^{t} \triangleq\left(s x^{t}, s y^{t}, s z^{t}, s d^{t}, s q^{t}, s r^{t}\right)$, is computed from the current sampled datum $\mathbf{S a m}^{t}$ together with an inertia predictor $\mathbf{M}^{t}=\left(m x^{t}, m y^{t}, m z^{t}, m d^{t}, m q^{t}, m r^{t}\right)$, using the following formula.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{S}^{t}=w e i_{\text {sam }} \times \mathbf{S a m}^{t}+\left(1-w e i_{\text {sam }}\right) \times \mathbf{M}^{t} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathbf{M}^{t}$ comes from HB's displacements in its last few sampling intervals $\mathbf{S}^{t-1}, \mathbf{S}^{t-2}, \ldots, \mathbf{S}^{t-n}$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{M}^{t}=\mathbf{V e l}^{t} \times d T+\mathbf{S}^{t-1} \\
\text { Vel }^{t}=\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{e l}\left(w e i_{k} \times \frac{\mathbf{S}^{t-k}-\mathbf{S}^{t-k-1}}{d T}\right)}{\sum_{k=1}^{e l} w e i_{k}}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\mathbf{V e l}^{t}$ is a weighted sum representing an estimate of HB's velocity, $d T$ the length of a sampling interval, and el the length of time over which our estimate is computed. $w e i_{s a m}$ and the $w e i_{k}$ 's are the relative weights given to the current sampled datum and the recent past velocities of the brush, respectively. A heavy $w e i_{\text {sam }}$ for instance means that the brush has a small inertia. This simple method to determine the position of $\mathbf{H B}$ turns out to be reasonable since the speed of the brush in real life rarely changes too abruptly, as can be easily observed when artists create Chinese calligraphic artwork using real physical brushes. By introducing an inertia predictor to influence the sampled position of the brush to yield its virtual position, the user can move the virtual hairy brush more continually to emulate the effect and enjoy the feeling of brush gliding; and although it is a simple mouse that is used to create electronic calligraphic artwork, the system could still give the user the approximate feeling of a real physical brush in action. For the above formulation, the default parameter configuration is: $e l=4 ; w e i_{1}=4, w e i_{2}=2$, wei $i_{3}=1$, $w e i_{4}=1 ; w e i_{s a m}=0.6$. These default values were obtained by experiments. Changing these values can yield different writing styles and feelings.

## 5 Dynamic Adjustments of the Brush

### 5.1 Estimating the physical conditions of the brush

Based on the sampled input data, the physical conditions of the virtual hairy brush are estimated at every time step; these conditions include the writing primitive $\mathbf{W P}_{i}$ 's inner stress $s t r_{i}^{t}$ at time $t$ and the pressure of the primitive due to its interaction with the paper. The greater the inner stress, the more likelihood there
is for the brush hair to split, and the pressure is the force per unit area as experienced by the virtual paper due to the inner stress of the brush. The parametric model of the e-brush is updated dynamically based on these estimates.

### 5.1.1 Estimating a writing primitive's current inner stress

Intuitively, the rigidity of $\mathbf{W} \mathbf{P}_{i}$ 's hair, $\mathbf{W} \mathbf{P}_{i}$ 's historical deformation, the wetness of $\mathbf{W} \mathbf{P}_{i}$, and the size of the part of $\mathbf{W} \mathbf{P}_{i}$ that is against the virtual paper plane $\psi$ all have an effect on the value of $\operatorname{str}_{i}^{t}$. We devised accordingly a formula to estimate $s t r_{i}^{t}$ based on these factors:

$$
\begin{equation*}
s t r_{i}^{t} \triangleq(1-e) \times \frac{n_{i}}{\sum_{k=1}^{n_{i}} w e t_{i, k}^{t}} \times \frac{S_{i}^{t} \times h e i_{i}^{t}}{3} \times h i s_{i}^{t} \times \frac{\pi \times a_{i}^{t} \times b_{i}^{t}}{4} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The term $e$ which has a value between 0 and 1 represents the elasticity of HB's hair, and hence $(1-e)$ indicates the rigidity of the hair. Since $a_{i}^{t}$ and $b_{i}^{t}$ are the lengths of $\mathbf{W} \mathbf{P}_{i}$ 's middle control ellipse $\mathbf{E}_{i}$ 's major axis and minor axis at time $t$, respectively, the term $\frac{\pi \times a_{i}^{t} \times b_{i}^{t}}{4}$ is the area of $\mathbf{E}_{i}$. It is used to approximate $\mathbf{W P}_{i}$ 's number of hair threads. The term $\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n_{i}} w e t_{i, k}^{t}}{n_{i}}$ is used to approximate $\mathbf{W} \mathbf{P}_{i}$ 's overall average degree of wetness, where $n_{i}$ is the number of key points along the middle control axis $\mathbf{A}_{i}$ of the $i$-th writing primitive $\mathbf{W P}_{i}$. The reciprocal is used in the formulation since a wet brush will experience less force than a dry brush. $S_{i}^{t}$ is the area of $\mathbf{W} \mathbf{P}_{i}$ 's cross section against the virtual paper $\psi$ at time $t$ approximated by the area of the section's smallest bounding box. hei $i_{i}^{t}$ is the distance between the cross section and the middle point $\mathbf{m i d}_{i}$ of $\mathbf{W} \mathbf{P}_{i}$ 's tip control line. We use a cone to approximate the part of $\mathbf{W} \mathbf{P}_{i}$ that is under $\boldsymbol{\psi}$, which has a volume of $\frac{S_{i}^{ \pm} \times h e i_{i}^{t}}{3}$. The larger this volume is, the more it contributes to the inner stress.

The deformation of the brush also has a bearing on the inner stress, which can be viewed as the accumulated result of a series of per-time-step deformation since the starting of the writing process. The factor $h i s_{i}^{t}$ represents this deformation factor in the formulation. It is decomposed into two parts: the displacement from the initial state, $h d d_{i}^{t}$, and shape deformation, $h s d_{i}^{t}$. And so $h i s_{i}^{t}$ is defined as:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
h i s_{i}^{t} \triangleq h s d_{i}^{t}+h d d_{i}^{t}  \tag{11}\\
h s d_{i}^{t} \triangleq \frac{a_{i}^{t}}{b_{b}^{t}} \times \frac{1}{l e n_{i}^{t}} \\
h d d_{i}^{t} \triangleq \frac{\left.\sum_{j=2}^{n_{i}^{i}}\left\|\mathbf{C}_{i, j}^{0}-\mathbf{c c}_{i, j}^{t}-\mathbf{m c}^{t}\right\| \times\left\|\mathbf{C}_{i, j}^{t}-\mathbf{c}_{i, j-1}^{t}\right\|\right)}{\sum_{j=2}^{n_{i}^{i}}\left\|\mathbf{C}_{i, j}^{t}-\mathbf{C C}_{i, j-1}^{t}\right\|} \\
\mathbf{m c}^{t} \triangleq \mathbf{c c}_{i, 1}^{0}-\mathbf{c c}_{i, 1}^{t}
\end{array}\right.
$$

We use the ratio of middle control ellipse $\mathbf{E}_{i}$ 's major axis' length to its minor axis' length, $\frac{a_{i}^{t}}{b_{i}^{t}}$, and $\mathbf{L}_{i}$ 's length, len ${ }_{i}^{t}$, to evaluate $\mathbf{E}_{i}$ 's shape deformation due to past writing. $h d d_{i}^{t}$ is a weighted sum of the displacement, $\left\|\mathbf{c c}_{i, j}^{0}-\mathbf{c c}_{i, j}^{t}-\mathbf{m c} \mathbf{c}^{t}\right\|$, of each key point $\mathbf{P}_{i, j}$, where $\mathbf{c c}_{i, j}^{0}$ is $\mathbf{P}_{i, j}$ 's coordinates when $\mathbf{W} \mathbf{P}_{i}$ is at its
initial free state, $\mathbf{c c}_{i, j}^{t}$ is $\mathbf{P}_{i, j}$ 's coordinates at time $t$ during writing, and $\mathbf{m c}^{t}$ is the accumulated displacement of $\mathbf{W} \mathbf{P}_{i}$ 's bottom control circle $\mathbf{C}_{i}$. Recall that $\mathbf{W} \mathbf{P}_{i}$ 's first key point $(j=1)$ is set as $\mathbf{C}_{i}$ 's center, $\operatorname{cen}_{i}$. Here the weights are the difference of the relative positions of every pair of adjacent key points along the control axis $\mathbf{A}_{i}$. In our experiments, we found this formula to be a good approximation of the behavior of a real brush. In comparison with the classical formulation in solid mechanics [54], this approximation formulation is simpler, which is helpful in reducing the computation time.

### 5.1.2 Estimating the pressure due to interaction with the virtual paper

Given that we have a value for the inner stress, we can devise a formula to estimate $\mathbf{W P}_{i}$ 's pressure $\gamma_{i}^{t}$ due to interaction between a writing primitive and the virtual paper $\psi$. This pressure contributes directly to the degree of deformation of the brush during writing. It can be easily seen that fast movements of the virtual hairy brush, a high degree of inner stress of the current writing primitive $\mathbf{W} \mathbf{P}_{i}$, and a coarse virtual paper can all severely deform HB (i.e., the middle control axes of the brush's compositive writing primitives). The formulation is as follows.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{i}^{t} \triangleq \| \text { Vel }^{t} \| \times s t r_{i}^{t} \times s m \times e \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where Vel $^{t}$ is the virtual hairy brush's velocity, $\operatorname{str}_{i}^{t}$ its inner stress, $s m$ the virtual paper's degree of smoothness, and $e$ the e-brush's degree of elasticity.

### 5.2 Dynamic adjustment of the middle control axis

### 5.2.1 The current active point

During the writing process, the intersection of the middle control axis $\mathbf{A}_{i}$ and the virtual paper $\boldsymbol{\psi}$ is the current active point. The current active point is inserted into $\mathbf{A}_{i}$ 's series of key points dynamically. The point's ink-related information is computed from the neighboring points in $\mathbf{A}_{i}$ by linear interpolation. Supposing at time $t$ the active point being inserted into $\mathbf{A}_{i}$ 's set of key points is $\mathbf{P}_{i, j}^{t}$, and $\mathbf{P}_{i, j+1}^{t}$ and $\mathbf{P}_{i, j-1}^{t}$ are its neighboring key points, we have:
where $\operatorname{col}_{i, j}^{t}$ is $\mathbf{P}_{i, j}^{t}$ 's color, $\mathbf{c c}_{i, j}^{t}$ is $\mathbf{P}_{i, j}^{t}$ 's coordinates, and wet $t_{i, j}^{t}$ is $\mathbf{P}_{i, j}^{t}$ 's degree of wetness at time $t$.

### 5.2.2 Deformation of the middle control axis $\mathbf{A}_{i}$

The middle control axis $\mathbf{A}_{i}$ of $\mathbf{W} \mathbf{P}_{i}$ changes form when subject to forces acting against the brush and the paper. A local reference frame is set up by taking $\mathbf{W P}_{i}$ 's bottom circle $\mathbf{C}_{i}$ as the X-Y plane, its center cen as the origin, and the brush shaft's direction as the Z-axis (Figure 2(c)).

If the current active point $\mathbf{P}_{i, j}$ travels a certain distance in the reference frame during time slice $t$, then all the key points that are underneath the virtual paper $\psi$ will also travel the same distance, plus an additional displacement dis in the local reference frame. We estimate this distance to be proportional to the product of HB's elasticity and $\mathbf{W P}_{i}$ 's current pressure, namely dis $=e \times \gamma_{i}^{t}$. Note that by using a time slice which is reasonably small, it is safe to assume that this active point remains to be the true active point for the duration covered by the time slice.

### 5.2.3 Recovery of the middle control axis $A_{i}$

As an elastomer, a writing primitive $\mathbf{W P}_{i}$ will recover in a certain fashion once the outer force exerted on it is released, such as when the brush is partially or completely lifted. Each time when the virtual hairy brush HB is lifted, every key point on each of HB's compositive writing primitives will change its place in the 3D space, that is, all the key points' $z$ components will increase by a certain amount. The higher the virtual brush is lifted, the more intense the current writing primitive's inner stress would be, and so would be the recovery. That is, for every key point $\mathbf{P}_{i, j}\left(i=1,2, \cdots, m ; j=1,2, \cdots, n_{i}\right)$ along $\mathbf{A}_{i}$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
c z_{i, j}^{t+1}=c z_{i, j}^{t}+\gamma_{i}^{t} \times\left|s z^{t+1}-s z^{t}\right| \times \operatorname{tr}\left(s z^{t+1}-s z^{t}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c z_{i, j}^{t}$ is $\mathbf{P}_{i, j}$ 's coordinates' $z$ component, $\gamma_{i}^{t}$ is $\mathbf{W} \mathbf{P}_{i}$ 's pressure against the virtual paper $\boldsymbol{\psi}$ at time $t$, and the term $\left|s z^{t+1}-s z^{t}\right|$ is the amount by which the virtual hairy brush $\mathbf{H B}$ is lifted between the time $t$ and $t+1 ; \operatorname{tr}()$ is the truncation function, which is defined as $\operatorname{tr}(x) \triangleq\left\{\begin{array}{l}0(x<0) \\ 1(x \geq 0)\end{array}\right.$. We have made a simplification in our modeling, which combines the flexibility and the "springiness" of the brush into the single concept of elasticity. The decision was based on the observation that having the distinction between flexibility and springiness would make very minor differences in the final output.

### 5.2.4 Dynamic adjustment of the wetness

During the writing process, the color of $\mathbf{H B}$ is assumed to be constant. The only changing ink-related information is HB's degree of wetness. Suppose the intersecting point between the middle control axis $\mathbf{A}_{i}$
and the virtual paper plane $\psi$, namely the current active point is inserted into $\mathbf{A}_{i}$ 's key points' sequence and denoted as $\mathbf{P}_{i, j}$. The degree of wetness of all the key points on $\mathbf{A}_{i}$ will decrease by a certain amount because of their contact with or proximity to the paper, which is estimated to be proportional to the product of $\boldsymbol{\psi}$ 's ink absorbing ability $a b$ and $\mathbf{W} \mathbf{P}_{i}$ 's current pressure $\gamma_{i}^{t}$ against $\boldsymbol{\psi}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
w e t_{i, j \pm s}^{t+1}=w e t_{i, j \pm s}^{t}-a b \times \gamma_{i}^{t} \times \frac{1}{2^{s+d}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d=\left\{\begin{array}{l}2(s \in \mathbf{N}) \\ 1(s=0)\end{array}\right.$ and $(j \pm s) \in\left[1, n_{i}\right]$.

### 5.3 Dynamic adjustment of the middle control ellipse

The deformed virtual hairy brush has an orientation which is determined by the orientation of the middle control ellipse. Our formulation for the latter is based on the phenomenon that if HB's moving direction is the same as the orientation of writing primitive $\mathbf{W} \mathbf{P}_{i}$ 's minor axis eori $_{i}^{t}$ at time $t$, further writing movements will rotate the ellipse by a certain angle rot $_{i}^{t}$. If the moving direction does not coincide with the orientation of the minor axis, this movement will increase the length of $\mathbf{W} \mathbf{P}_{i}$ 's major axis $a_{i}^{t}$, the amount of increase is denoted by $\operatorname{inc}_{i}^{t}$. inc $_{i}^{t}$ and $\operatorname{rot}_{i}^{t}$ are defined as:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\operatorname{rot}_{i}^{t}=r e \times \gamma_{i}^{t} \times\left(\mathbf{V e l}^{t} \bullet \operatorname{eor}_{i}^{t}\right)  \tag{16}\\
\operatorname{inc}_{i}^{t}=i e \times \gamma_{i}^{t} \times \| \mathbf{V e l}^{t} \times \text { orr }_{i}^{t} \|
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $r e$ and $i e$ are HB's rotation and elongation coefficients, respectively. Since writing primitive $\mathbf{W P}_{i}$ 's number of hair threads, approximated by $\mathbf{E}_{i}$ 's area, $\frac{\pi \times a_{i}^{t} \times b_{i}^{t}}{4}$, is a constant if $\mathbf{W} \mathbf{P}_{i}$ does not split during its writing process, $\mathbf{E}_{i}$ 's minor axis' length $b_{i}^{t}$ can be determined given a certain value for its major axis' length $a_{i}^{t}$.

The middle control ellipse $\mathbf{E}_{i}$ 's position $l o c_{i}^{t}$ within the middle control axis $\mathbf{A}_{i}$ may also vary during the writing process. We assume from intuition that the ideal position of the middle control ellipse should be such that it divides the key points of the middle control axis into two equal groups, because at this location the ellipse's profile has the maximum capacity to control the writing primitive's geometric modeling characters. Since the speed in which the ellipse can relocate is limited by the mechanical and flowage properties of the virtual hairy brush, the actual position of the middle control axis is a linear interpolation of its ideal position (based on the ellipse's ideal location) and its previous position. This is depicted as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
l o c_{i}^{t+1}=\nu \times \operatorname{loc}_{i}^{t}+(1-\nu) \times \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}-1} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{j}\left\|\mathbf{C}_{i, k+1}^{t+1}-\mathbf{C C}_{i, k}^{t+1}\right\|}{\sum_{k=1}^{n_{i-1}-1}\left\|\mathbf{C}_{i, k+1}^{t+1}-\mathbf{c}_{i, k}^{t+1}\right\|}}{n_{i}} . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $\nu$ is the relocation factor, its default value is $\nu=0.75$. And $\mathbf{c c}_{i, k}^{t}$ is the coordinates of $\mathbf{W} \mathbf{P}_{i}$ 's key point $\mathbf{P}_{i, k}$ at time $t$. The complex summation term is used to estimate the ideal position of the middle control ellipse. Here we use the term $\left\|\mathbf{c c}_{i, k+1}^{t+1}-\mathbf{c c}_{i, k}^{t+1}\right\|$ to approximate the distance between the middle control axis $\mathbf{A}_{i}{ }^{\prime}$ s two neighboring key points $\mathbf{P}_{i, k+1}$ and $\mathbf{P}_{i, k}$ at time $t+1$. Thus, the sum $\sum_{k=1}^{j}\left\|\mathbf{c c}_{i, k+1}^{t+1}-\mathbf{c c}_{i, k}^{t+1}\right\|$ is the distance of key point $\mathbf{P}_{i, j+1}$ from the the center of the bottom control circle of the brush, HB.C. The fraction $\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{j}\left\|\mathbf{C C}_{i, k+1}^{t+1}-\mathbf{C C}_{i, k}^{t+1}\right\|}{\sum_{k=1}^{n_{i}-1}\left\|\mathbf{C}_{i, k+1}^{t+1}-\mathbf{C C}_{i, k}^{t+1}\right\|}$ indicates key point $\mathbf{P}_{i, j+1}$ 's position along $\mathbf{A}_{i}$. According to the assumption about the ideal position of the middle control ellipse, this position actually is the arithmetic mean of all the key points' positions along $\mathbf{A}_{i}$. Notice that HB.C's position along $\mathbf{A}_{i}$ is always zero.

### 5.4 Dynamic adjustment of the tip control line

The tip control line of a writing primitive $\mathbf{W} \mathbf{P}_{i}$ is assumed to be a single point in its initial state. It changes into a real line during writing. The line's elongation and rotation are simulated by employing the same strategy as is applied to the middle control ellipse $\mathbf{E}_{i}$-that is, the tip control line $\mathbf{L}_{i}$ will increase in length by the amount of $\operatorname{inc}_{i}^{t}$ and be rotated by the same amount of $\operatorname{rot}_{i}^{t}$ as for the major axis of the middle control ellipse $\mathbf{E}_{i}$. The tip control line does not have a direct effect on the current ink mark during writing in our modeling, but it will define the shape of the end of a stroke, at the time when the brush is about to leave the paper.

### 5.5 Splitting of the virtual hairy brush

There is a threshold tre which specifies the extent to which $\mathbf{W} \mathbf{P}_{i}$ can be deformed before splitting of the hair occurs. When this threshold is reached or exceeded, the current writing primitive will split into several smaller writing primitives. This simulates the "branching out" behavior of the virtual hairy brush during the writing process. Specifically, if writing primitive $\mathbf{W} \mathbf{P}_{i}$ 's current inner stress $s t r_{i}^{t}$ becomes greater than tre, $\mathbf{W P}_{i}$ will split into

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=\left\lfloor\frac{s t r_{i}^{t}}{t r e}\right\rfloor \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

new writing primitives $\mathbf{W} \mathbf{P}_{i}^{1}, \mathbf{W P}_{i}^{2}, \cdots, \mathbf{W P}_{i}^{k}$.
Each of the new writing primitives, $\mathbf{W P}_{i}^{j}(j=1, \cdots, k)$, has a number of hair threads which is equal to $\frac{1}{k}$ of $\mathbf{W P}_{i}$ 's total number. Note that in the virtual hairy brush, we use the area of the middle control ellipse $\frac{\pi \times a_{i}^{t} \times b_{i}^{t}}{4}$ and the length of the tip control line $l e n_{i}^{t}$ to compute the number of hair threads. Therefore the lengths of the middle control ellipse's major axis $a_{i}^{t, j}$ and minor axis $b_{i}^{t, j}$ of each of the new writing primitives $\mathbf{W P}_{i}^{j}$ are set to $\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}$ of $\mathbf{W} \mathbf{P}_{i}$ 's original values $(j=1,2, \cdots, k)$; and the tip control line's length
$l e n_{i}^{t, j}$ is set to $\frac{1}{k}$ of the original value. That is:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a_{i}^{t, j}=\frac{a_{i}^{t}}{\sqrt{k}}  \tag{19}\\
b_{i}^{t, j}=\frac{b_{i}^{k}}{\sqrt{k}} \\
l e n_{i}^{t, j}=\frac{l e n_{i}^{t}}{k}
\end{array}(j=1,2, \cdots, k) .\right.
$$

At the beginning, the virtual hairy brush HB contains only one writing primitive, and so $m=1$. During the writing process, the number of HB's compositive writing primitives may increase because of the split operation. A brush with one writing primitive is probably good enough for official scripts, but for cursive scripts, the brush must split into at least a dozen of primitives in order to achieve the necessary effects.

During the split operation, every new writing primitive generated, $\mathbf{W P}_{i}^{j}(j=1, \cdots, k)$, has the same number of key points as the original one, $\mathbf{W P}_{i}$, with coordinates at a certain distance from $\mathbf{W} \mathbf{P}_{i}$ 's. This distance is proportional to the amount of $\mathbf{W} \mathbf{P}_{i}$ 's current inner stress exceeding the split threshold tre, and the direction of this distance is assumed random. Therefore for each key point $\mathbf{P}_{i, l}\left(l=1,2, \cdots, n_{i}\right)$ in $\mathbf{W} \mathbf{P}_{i}$, there is a corresponding key point $\mathbf{P}_{i, l}^{j}$ in $\mathbf{W} \mathbf{P}_{i}^{j}(j=1,2, \cdots, k)$. The coordinates of $\mathbf{P}_{i, l}$ and $\mathbf{P}_{i, l}^{j}$ have the following relationship: $\mathbf{c c}_{\mathbf{P}_{i, l}^{j}}=\mathbf{c c}_{\mathbf{P}_{i, l}}+\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{P}_{i, l}^{j}}$, where $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{P}_{i, l}^{j}}$ is determined by $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{P}_{i, l}^{j}}=\operatorname{rand} \times\left(s t r_{i}^{t}-\right.$ $t r e) \times \operatorname{tr}\left(s t r_{i}^{t}-\operatorname{tre}\right)$, and rand is a random unit vector in the 3 D space.

### 5.6 Ink flowage between writing primitives

Although each primitive has full control over its behavior during the writing process, due to reciprocity in mechanics and ink flowage, there could be interaction between writing primitives that are close to each other. To simulate this interaction, we allow each key point's degree of wetness to be affected by its neighboring key points if the distance separating them is within the ink diffusion distance factor $\eta$. Linear interpolation is used to compute one key point's current degree of wetness based on its previous value and the average degree of wetness of those neighboring key points. That is formulated as:

$$
\begin{gather*}
w e t_{i, k}^{t+1}=w e t_{i, k}^{t} \times(1-p w)+\frac{\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{m} \sum_{l=1}^{n_{j}}\left(w e t_{j, l}^{t} \times \operatorname{tr}\left(\eta-\left\|\mathbf{c}_{j, l}^{t}-\mathbf{c c}_{i, k}^{t}\right\|\right)\right)}{\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{m} \sum_{l=1}^{n_{j}} \operatorname{tr}\left(\eta-\left\|\mathbf{c c}_{j, l}^{t}-\mathbf{c c}_{i, k}^{t}\right\|\right)} \times p w .  \tag{20}\\
i=1,2, \cdots, m ; k=1,2, \cdots, n_{i}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here, $p w$ is the diffusion control factors of virtual hairy brush $\mathbf{H B}$; wet $t_{i, k}^{t}$ is key point $\mathbf{P}_{i, k}$ 's degree of wetness and $\mathbf{c c}_{i, k}^{t}$ is its coordinates at time $t ; \eta$ is the ink diffusion distance factor, and $\operatorname{tr}()$ is the truncation function.

## 6 The Writing Process

At time $t$, each of HB's writing primitives will intersect with the virtual paper plane to yield a cross section. The drawing operations are executed taking into account the writing primitives' ink-related information. The following paragraphs outline the algorithm for this process-the virtual hairy brush's real-time writing/painting algorithm.

The algorithm begins with a given writing primitive $\mathbf{W} \mathbf{P}_{u}$ constructed by the general sweeping operation. The generated sweeping surface is a NURBS surface denoted by $S S_{u}(s, t) ; s$ and $t$ are the parameters of this parameterized surface, whose values are within $[0,1]$. The direction of $s$ is the same as that of $\mathbf{W P}_{u}$ 's middle control axis. The algorithm intersects $S S_{u}(s, t)$ with the virtual paper plane $\psi$ to get an intersecting curve cur $_{u}$ that encloses an area which is the current ink mark (Figure 7). Each point $\mathbf{V}_{u, v}$ in cur $_{u}$ is projected onto $\mathbf{W} \mathbf{P}_{u}$ 's middle control axis $\mathbf{A}_{u}$ to obtain the point $\hat{\mathbf{V}}_{u, v}$. The ink-related information of the two nearest key points $\boldsymbol{\delta}_{u, v}^{1}, \boldsymbol{\delta}_{u, v}^{2}$ on $\mathbf{A}_{u}$ to $\hat{\mathbf{V}}_{u, v}$ is then used to compute $\hat{\mathbf{V}}_{u, v}$ 's ink-related information by linear interpolation, as follows.
$\mathbf{V}_{u, v}$ 's ink-related information is derived from $\hat{\mathbf{V}}_{u, v}$ 's by following $\hat{\mathbf{V}}_{u, v}$ 's texture function (Equation 5):

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
{ }^{w e t} \mathbf{V}_{u, v}={ }^{w e t} \hat{\mathbf{V}}_{u, v} \times\left(1+\left\|\mathbf{c c}_{\mathbf{V}_{u, v}}-\mathbf{c c}_{\hat{\mathbf{V}}_{u, v}}\right\| \times w r_{u, v}+\left(\mathbf{c c}_{\mathbf{V}_{u, v}}-\mathbf{c c}_{\hat{\mathbf{V}}_{u, v}}\right) \bullet \mathbf{w}_{u, v}\right)  \tag{22}\\
\boldsymbol{c o l}_{\mathbf{V}_{u, v}}=\mathbf{c o l}_{\hat{\mathbf{V}}_{u, v}} \times\left(1+\left\|\mathbf{c c}_{\mathbf{V}_{u, v}}-\mathbf{c c}_{\hat{\mathbf{V}}_{u, v}}\right\| \times c r_{u, v}+\left(\mathbf{c c}_{\mathbf{V}_{u, v}}-\mathbf{c c}_{\hat{\mathbf{V}}_{u, v}}\right) \bullet \mathbf{c}_{u, v}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

And for any pixel $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ on the virtual paper plane enclosed by the the curve cur $_{u}$, it must lie uniquely on a certain line segment, $\overline{\mathbf{V}_{u, v} \hat{\mathbf{V}}_{u, v}}$. Its ink-related information is computed based on $\mathbf{V}_{u, v}$ 's and $\hat{\mathbf{V}}_{u, v}$ 's inkrelated information:

Denote the point that is within the volume of the solid model of the virtual hairy brush and that coincides with the pixel $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{u, v}$ on $\boldsymbol{\psi}$ as $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{u, v}$. $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{u, v}$ 's new degree of wetness is linear-interpolated from $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{u, v}$ 's previous value with $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{u, v}$ 's degree of wetness and by using $\boldsymbol{\psi}$ 's absorbing ability factor $a b$ as the interpolation weight. A drying factor $d r y$ is introduced to automatically reduce each pixel's degree of wetness
periodically until it reaches 0 . That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{2} t_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{u, v}}^{t+1}=a b \times \text { wet }_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{u, v}}^{t}+(1-a b) \times \text { wet }_{\boldsymbol{\tau}_{u, v}}^{t}-d r y \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the degree of wetness exceeds the upper bound of virtual paper $\boldsymbol{\psi}$ 's degree of wetness, saturation takes place. We assume that saturation would only affect $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{u, v}$ 's eight neighboring pixels on $\boldsymbol{\psi}$. The degree of wetness of a pixel will increase if it is not saturated. The increased amount is proportional to the unsaturated degree. That is, $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{i, j}$, which is one of $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{u, v}$ 's eight neighboring pixels on $\boldsymbol{\psi}$, will have its degree of wetness increased according to the following formulation.

$$
\begin{equation*}
w e t_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{i, j}}^{t+1}=\text { wet }_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{i, j}}^{t}+\frac{\left(1-w e t_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{i, j}}^{t}\right) \times \operatorname{tr}\left(1-\text { wet }_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{i, j}}^{t}\right)}{\varrho_{u, v}^{t}} \times\left(\text { wet }_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{u, v}^{t}}^{t}-1\right) \times \operatorname{tr}\left(w e t_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{u, v}}^{t}-1\right) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w e t_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{t}$, a real number between 0 and 1 , is point $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ 's degree of wetness at time $t$, and $\varrho_{u, v}^{t}=$ $\sum_{g=u-1}^{u+1} \sum_{h=v-1}^{v+1}\left(1-w^{e} t_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{g, h}}^{t}\right) \times \operatorname{tr}\left(1-\right.$ wet $\left._{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{g, h}}^{t}\right)$, indicates the total degree of unsaturation that $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{u, v}$ 's eight neighboring pixels have attained. Note that $\operatorname{tr}\left(1-\right.$ wet $\left._{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{g, h}}^{t}\right)$ is only non-zero when the point $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{g, h}$ is not saturated.

The rendering of the current ink-mark is based on a unique ink model we propose. The current color of the pixel $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{u, v}$ is the linear interpolation of $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{u, v}$ 's previous color and $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{u, v}$ 's color, as follows.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{col}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{u, v}}^{t+1}=\operatorname{col}_{\boldsymbol{\tau}_{u, v}}^{t} \times C r_{u, v}^{t}+\operatorname{col}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{u, v}}^{t} \times\left(1-C r_{u, v}^{t}\right) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C r_{u, v}^{t}$, the interpolation weight, is a random number, which has the value of either 0 or $w e t_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{u, v}}^{t}$ based on the following probabilities.

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
P\left\{C r_{u, v}^{t}=0\right\}=\min \left(r e n \times a b \times \gamma_{i}^{t}, 1\right)  \tag{27}\\
P\left\{C r_{u, v}^{t}=\operatorname{wet}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{u, v}^{t}}^{t}\right\}=1-\min \left(r e n \times a b \times \gamma_{i}^{t}, 1\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where ren is HB's color rendering control factor, $a b$ is the virtual paper $\psi$ 's absorbing ability, and $\gamma_{i}^{t}$ is the pressure due to the interaction between the writing primitive and the virtual paper plane. We use this formulation to simulate the dry brush drawing effect and the running style effect.

## 7 Customizing the Brush

### 7.1 Quality parameters

In real life, brushes having soft hair tend to branch out easily during writing. Some brushes have a good deal of hair and tend to suck in more ink and cause serious saturation during the writing process, while
other brushes have rather long hair and their tip tends to get deformed and rotated to a great extent easily. For our virtual hairy brush, a number of quality parameters can be set to simulate these different kinds of brush character. What comes out as the final electronic artwork from using the virtual hairy brush can be much affected by the values of these parameters. Similarly, the virtual paper has a set of quality parameters to be assigned a value for simulating different kinds of paper.

All the quality parameters $\mathbf{Q p}$ are classified into two categories. The first category, $\mathbf{Q p}^{1}=(e, t r e, \nu, r e, i e, s m)$, can affect the brush strokes' boundaries while the second category, $\mathbf{Q p}^{2}=(r e n, \eta, a b, p w, d r y)$, can affect the brush strokes' texture. Different combinations of possible values for these quality parameters of the virtual hairy brush would result in an e-brush with different qualities. Please refer to Figure 8(a) for the concise meaning of each of these parameters.

To ease the task of selecting the appropriate values to achieve the desired quality, our implemented system offers a set of predefined quality configurations in a library for the user to choose from. This is similar to what happens in reality when a calligrapher chooses the most suitable real hairy brush from many brushes in his collection or in a shop. Some of which could have been contributed by the users themselves. Others are prefabricated based on empirical knowledge provided by real-life calligraphers and painters. After choosing or creating a certain quality configuration, the end user can write/paint with the chosen or created virtual hairy brush. He can change his decision later and choose another new configuration until he is satisfied with the e-brush and the created artwork. Although not implemented in the current prototype, it is possible to allow an artwork to be automatically transformed using a new configuration because all the input leading to the creation of the artwork is already recorded in a file.

The implemented system provides a window in which the user can adjust the above parameters visually. If he feels that the virtual hairy brush dries too quickly and the final artwork should have more versatile color layers, he can increase the value of the color rendering control parameter ren. If he feels that the virtual hairy brush deforms too slowly, he can increase the values of the parameters $\nu$, re and ie which govern the deformability of the brush. And if the virtual hairy brush recovers too quickly from deformation, he can decrease the value of the elasticity parameter $e$. To increase the tendency of brush splitting, he can assign a small value to tre, the splitting threshold. If his strokes are fast so that the brush tends to brush out more easily, he may need to adjust the parameters $p w$ and $a b$ which control the diffusion and absorption abilities of the paper, since fast movements of the brush leave little time for the paper to diffuse or absorb the ink, and hence the proper setting of these parameters is important for any desired effect. Of course, the user can save all this trouble of assigning values to the parameters by simply accepting an offered configuration.

### 7.2 Configuring the brush with machine intelligence

In addition to user-created configurations, the system can configure a brush automatically. To enable the computer to adjust the quality parameters of the virtual hairy brush as well as those for the virtual paper automatically, a special procedure needs to be carried out to train the computer. The training samples consist of brush strokes being painted within boundaries specified by the training module. The procedure is similar to a beginner starting to learn how to use a hairy brush in real life, referred to as the "MiaoHong" process in Chinese calligraphy. The number of training samples can be set by the user. Of course, the more samples used the better would be the resulting quality of the brush. Simple artwork such as the one in Figure 10(a) can be rendered after a few minutes of training.

Let $S^{i}[l e n][w i d]$ and $C^{i}[l e n][w i d]$ be two matrices where each of the elements is the RGB color code of a pixel of the virtual paper $\psi$. The first matrix corresponds to the $i$ th sample of $n$ training samples, and the second matrix the $i$ th user-generated result. Figure 8(b),(c) shows some examples of real training samples.

We define a target function $\vartheta$ to indicate the difference between the system's specified training samples and the user-created images using the virtual hairy brush:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vartheta \triangleq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \vartheta^{i} \triangleq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{p=1}^{l e n} \sum_{q=1}^{w i d}\left(S^{i}[p][q] \bigotimes C^{i}[p][q]\right) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the operator $\bigotimes$ is defined as $\left(r_{1}, g_{1}, b_{1}\right) \bigotimes\left(r_{2}, g_{2}, b_{2}\right) \triangleq\left|r_{1}-r_{2}\right|+\left|g_{1}-g_{2}\right|+\left|b_{1}-b_{2}\right|$. All the quality parameters of the virtual hairy brush contribute to $\vartheta$, in the sense that with a certain set of initial values for all the quality parameters, a collection of user-created image, based on which the corresponding $\vartheta$ can be computed. Thus, the problem to determine a good set of values to configure the virtual hairy brush with is reduced to the problem of finding a configuration which can minimize or nearly minimize $\vartheta$, which is a typical optimization problem. We devised and used an optimization algorithm based on the Steepest Descent Algorithm [55] in nonlinear programming to find the needed solution, as described below.

We use ordered training procedures to compute the optimal configuration of HB's quality parameters Qp. Firstly, we need to train and determine all the first-category quality parameters $\mathbf{Q} \mathbf{p}^{1}$, followed by the second-category parameters $\mathbf{Q} \mathbf{p}^{2}$. Like the quality parameters, the training samples are divided into two classes. The first class of samples are used to train the e-brush to draw brush strokes with proper stroke boundaries, and so parameters that have to do with the texture of the brush stroke are ignored, namely $\mathbf{Q} \mathbf{p}^{2}$. Hence, to determine $\mathbf{Q} \mathbf{p}^{1}$, we set $\mathbf{Q} \mathbf{p}^{2} \equiv(0,0,0,0,0)$, which means no drying brush effect nor diffusion
effect. After the algorithm yields the value for $\mathbf{Q} \mathbf{p}^{1}$, the value for $\mathbf{Q} \mathbf{p}^{2}$ is computed, this time with $\mathbf{Q} \mathbf{p}^{1}$ being assigned the value derived from the previous computation.

Because the values of all the quality parameters of the virtual hairy brush share the same range of $[0,1]$, we can view this problem as a minimization problem with its feasible solution space being a unit cube in a 6 -dimensional space. We equally divide the edges of the cube to decompose the cube into subcubes, and take every resultant grid point (at a corner of a subcube) as a possible initial point; so each initial point's coordinates in the 5 -dimensional space are in the form of $\mathbf{X}^{0}=\left(\frac{d_{1}}{d}, \frac{d_{2}}{d}, \cdots, \frac{d_{6}}{d}\right)$, where $d \in N$ is the number of parts an edge is divided into, and $d_{i}=0,1, \cdots, d(i=1,2, \cdots, 6)$. With $\mathbf{X}^{0}$ as the initial point, we use the Steepest Descent Algorithm [55] to find a satisfactory solution that minimizes $\vartheta\left(\mathbf{X}^{0}\right)$. That is,

1. Set $k=0$. Compute $\mathbf{y}^{k}=-\nabla \vartheta\left(\mathbf{X}^{k}\right)$;
2. If $\left\|\mathbf{y}^{k}\right\|<\varepsilon$, the algorithm stops, where $\varepsilon$ is the error bound;
3. Determine $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{k}$, such that $\vartheta\left(\mathbf{X}^{k}+\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{k} \times \mathbf{y}^{k}\right)=\min \left(\mathbf{X}^{k}+\boldsymbol{\lambda} \times \mathbf{y}^{k}\right), \boldsymbol{\lambda} \geq 0$;
4. Let $\mathbf{X}^{k+1}=\mathbf{X}^{k}+\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{k} \times \mathbf{y}^{k} ; k=k+1$; go to Step 2.

The value of $\nabla \vartheta\left(\mathbf{X}^{k}\right)$ is approximated by $\vartheta$ 's differential at the point $\mathbf{X}^{k}$. That is, we disturb the position of $\mathbf{X}^{k}$ along the coordinate system's axis $x_{i}$ by a short distance $\triangle x_{i}$; and run the algorithm for the virtual hairy brush to yield $\vartheta\left(\mathbf{X}^{k}+\triangle x_{i}\right)$. The differential of $\vartheta\left(\mathbf{X}^{k}\right)$ can then be approximated by $\frac{\vartheta\left(\mathbf{X}^{k}+\triangle x_{i}\right)}{\Delta x_{i}}$. After taking several $\left(\frac{d_{1}}{d}, \frac{d_{2}}{d}, \cdots, \frac{d_{6}}{d}\right), d_{i}=0,1, \cdots, d(i=1, \cdots, 6)$, as the initial point $\mathbf{X}^{0}$, we can determine an $\mathbf{X}\left(=\left(\frac{d_{i, 1}}{d}, \frac{d_{i, 2}}{d}, \cdots, \frac{d_{i, 6}}{d}\right)\right)$ which yields the nearly minimum $\vartheta(\mathbf{X})$. We then take $\left[\frac{d_{i, 1}-1}{d}, \frac{d_{i, 1}+1}{d}\right] \times$ $\left[\frac{d_{i, 2}-1}{d}, \frac{d_{i, 2}+1}{d}\right] \times \cdots \times\left[\frac{d_{i, 6}-1}{d}, \frac{d_{i, 6}+1}{d}\right]$ as a new cube, and re-run the above procedure to search for a smaller $\vartheta$, until meeting a solution that satisfies the user's specified error bound.

Of course, the user can adjust the the parameters of the configuration if he feels that the result from the above procedure still falls short of his demand. Any of the preset configurations in the system's library can be used as the initial point for the optimization procedure so that a solution to the problem can be arrived at much more quickly and accurately. In this sense, all the above strategies to determine the quality parameters for the virtual hairy brush can be combined to achieve better performance and results.

## 8 System Implementation and Experiment Results

Figure 9 shows a screen shot of the implemented system in action, where there is one window responsible for displaying the current sampled input; one for the current control parameters derived from the input; one for parameter values automatically assigned to the writing primitives by an internal algorithm; one for the current drawing mark; one for the current writing primitives in the 3D space; and one for the current writing mark imprinted on the paper and the history of all the sampled input.

Figure 10 shows some real artwork digitized from calligraphy samples together with the imitation artwork created by our virtual hairy brush. These samples prove that very realistic-looking calligraphic artwork can be generated by our virtual hairy brush. If carefully tuned, the simulation's result can be nearly indistinguishable from the original one to a human viewer. By fiddling with the quality parameters and the input data for the brush's six degrees of freedom, users can create interesting calligraphy fully electronically. It is well known that to imitate an original calligraphic artwork is a nontrivial task. With our electronic environment, however, such an imitation task becomes relatively easy. The system offers a friendly user interface, which supports adjusting the quality parameters and the input data dynamically to achieve whatever delicate effect the user desires. Figure 11 shows a series of computer artwork created by the first author, a non-artist, using the virtual hairy brush. To give an idea on the effort required for the more complex artwork, the horse picture (the horse in Figure 11(b)left) took about 1.5 hours to complete. Although these figures show only imitation artwork generated by our virtual brush, the real imitation that our design and implementation have set out to achieve is to imitate the manipulatability, aesthetic features, and expressive power of traditional hairy brushes. A person who has mastered our virtual brush should be able to create high-quality calligraphic artwork as can be done by a real brush.

## 9 Future Work

A real brush operates in a fashion that is orders of magnitude more complex than can be modeled by a computer. Features that can be added in the future versions to further enhance the modeling include dynamic merging of writing primitives, repeated dipping effects, and more user's control of the brush during writing. Other features requiring longer-term effort include mapping of 2D calligraphic artwork to 3D and feature extraction of real artwork. We explain some of these in the following.

- Multiple dipping effects. In the current design, dipping operations only take place before the first time the virtual hairy brush touches the paper plane. In real life, an artist may dip the brush either
partially or completely multiple times. Partial dipping would cause local changes in the brush's wetness and color.
- More control. Another problem with the current prototype is that, once the simulation is on its way, the number of key points in the middle control axis would keep increasing, which is not quite in line with the real case where an artist may caress a deformed brush to restore its shape. A variety of interfaces are required to allow the user to control and edit the writing primitive's geometry, as well as its color and its degree of wetness as the linear interpolation in the current design is just one of many possibilities.
- Hair merging. In the current virtual hairy brush model, writing primitives will split into several smaller ones, which simulates the branching out of the hair in a real writing process. In real life, branched-out hair bundles may merge together later. Such a feature is not in the current implementation.
- 3D writing effects. Many famous Chinese calligraphic artwork creations are chiseled in monuments. How to map 2D calligraphy work into 3D is an interesting research problem. So is the problem of directly creating 3D calligraphic artwork.
- Automatic imitation. Pattern recognition and machine learning mechanisms can equip the system with the ability to duplicate existing artwork fully automatically, and in the process to extract useful components such as the brush's path and the thicknesses of the strokes. These components will then be useful in automatic imitation of calligraphic artwork or computer-assisted calligraphy.


## 10 Summary and Conclusion

In this paper, by modeling the hairy brush using a few writing primitives which work independently, a realtime virtual hairy brush system has been implemented for creating artwork by the computer. Experimental results have verified the correctness and real-time quality of the algorithms, as well as its resemblance to the real brush in terms of the output.

We use writing primitives instead of individual hair threads to serve as the basic working units in the virtual hairy brush. In general, a single primitive is probably enough for regular scripts, official scripts, and most running scripts, and perhaps a dozen or so for cursive scripts. Hence, our software needs to
handle only a small number of writing primitives most of the time. In comparison with [22], where the brush is modeled as a cone, our virtual brush can produce a a drawing mark that is more variable in shape.

Like the virtual hairy itself, the proposed ink model is also physically based, which blends wetness, ink color, and the current inner stress of the brush with rendering probabilities, and reduces all the complex interactions into a set of simple equations. Compared to [44], where complex differential equations are used, our model is computationally efficient. The ink model is integrated into the e-brush system so that much of the brush's computation can also be used in computing for the ink model.

With the ink-related information that is lodged in the writing primitive's control axis, a single primitive can readily express complex, interesting and even mysterious distribution of the ink, color and wetness. Together with the use of rendering probabilities, our brush can achieve the effects of multiple gray levels, colorful painting, dry brush writing and saturation.

During the writing process, the simulation takes into account the acceleration of the virtual hairy brush, producing an effect that emulates the manipulation of a real physical brush.

Although the system provides methods to manually edit a collection of parameters that can affect the rendering and the final result, it is not necessary for the user to interactively input values for these parameters. All that is needed from the user is the series of six degrees of freedom of the hairy brush, based on which the computer can simulate the whole writing process. This leads to an efficient system operable in real-time, and which is easy to use for the user. Since most of the handwriting's geometrical and dynamic parameters can be automatically tuned by the system on the fly, little storage space is required to keep track of state information.

The simplicity and expressive power of the system introduce a wide space to carry out computer-aided artwork creation.
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Figure 1: Virtual hairy brush's working diagram.

(a) A writing primitive and its four attributes.

(b) A writing primitive in its initial state.

(c) A deformed writing primitive.

Figure 2: A writing primitive.


Figure 3: Radiation (left) and vector (right) ink distribution modes.


Figure 4: State transitions of a writing primitive.

(a) Writing primitives with the middle control ellipse at different locations (left); writing primitives with different circle radii (right).

(b) Writing primitives with different ratios of major-to-minor axis length of the middle control ellipse (top row); writing primitives with different tip control line lengths (middle); writing primitives with different middle control axes (bottom).

(c) Virtual brushes with hair split into several writing primitives.

Figure 5: Virtual brushes in various forms.

(a) The six degrees of freedom of an input sample.

| User Action | Corresponding Effect |
| :--- | :--- |
| Moving the mouse | Generate the $\left(x^{t}, y^{t}\right)$ coordinates |
| Scrolling the middle wheel of the mouse | Generates the $z^{t}$ coordinate |
| Pressing key "q" by the little finger | Reduces the value of $d^{t}$ |
| Pressing key " w " by the ring finger | Increases the value of $d^{t}$ |
| Pressing key "e" by the middle finger "r" by the index finger | Reduces the value of $q^{t}$ |
| Pressing key " " by the thumb | Increases the value of $q^{t}$ |
| Pressing key "c" |  |
| Pressing key "v" by the thumb | Reduces the value of $r^{t}$ |
| Pressing a key while the left button is pressed | Increases the value of $r^{t}$ |
| Pressing a key while the right button is pressed is pressed twice | The key is pressed four times |
| (b) 3-button-mouse input method. |  |

Figure 6: The six degrees of freedom of an input sample and its 3-button-mouse input method.


Figure 7: The virtual paper plane and its drawing mark.

| Virtual Hairy Brush System's Quality Parameters |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name | Concise Meaning | Category | Usage |
|  | HB's degree of elasticity <br> HB's threshold for splitting <br> HB's relocation factor <br> HB's rotation coefficient <br> HB's elongation coefficient <br> $\psi$ 's degree of smoothness | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{Q p}^{1} \\ & \mathbf{Q p}^{1} \\ & \mathbf{Q p}^{1} \\ & \mathbf{Q p}^{1} \\ & \mathbf{Q p}^{1} \\ & \mathbf{Q p}^{1} \end{aligned}$ | Eq. 10, Eq. 12 <br> Eq. 18 <br> Eq. 17 <br> Eq. 16 <br> Eq. 16 <br> Eq. 12 |
| ren <br> $\eta$ <br> $a b$ <br> pw <br> $d r y$ | HB's color rendering control factor <br> HB's ink diffusion distance factor $\psi$ 's absorbing ability $\psi$ 's diffusion control factor $\psi$ 's drying factor | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{Q p}^{2} \\ & \mathbf{Q p}^{2} \\ & \mathbf{Q p}^{2} \\ & \mathbf{Q p}^{2} \\ & \mathbf{Q p}^{2} \end{aligned}$ | Eq. 27 <br> Eq. 20 <br> Eq. 15, Eq. 24, Eq. 27 <br> Eq. 20 <br> Eq. 24 |
| Notes | HB refers to the virtual hairy brush; $\psi$ refers to the virtual paper. <br> $\mathbf{Q p}^{1}$ is HB's quality parameters for shape, and $\mathbf{Q p}^{2}$ quality parameters for texture. |  |  |

(a) Virtual hairy brush system's quality parameters

(b) Some selected training samples for the first category of quality parameters.

(c) Some selected training samples for the second category of quality parameters.

Figure 8: Virtual hairy brush system's quality parameters and training samples.


Figure 9: The running system.

(a) Real artwork (first from left; third) vs. imitation (second; fourth).

(b) Real artwork (first from left; fourth), and imitations; the one in the middle has the brush's trajectories highlighted.

(c) Two Chinese love poems: real artwork (left) vs. imitation (right).

Figure 10: Real artwork vs. imitation created by the virtual hairy brush.

(b) A running horse (left) and parrots (right).


Figure 11: Paintings created by the virtual hairy brush.
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